



Environmental Impact Study - Southern Phase, Elephant Lake, Municipality of Dysart et al, Haliburton County, ON

May 14, 2025

Prepared for:
2463756 Ontario Inc.

Cambium Reference: 11996-001

CAMBIUM INC.

866.217.7900

cambium-inc.com



Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Summary of Proposed Development.....	2
2.0	Applicable Natural Heritage Policy and Regulation.....	3
2.1	Provincial Planning Statement, 2024.....	3
2.2	Official Plans and Zoning By-Law.....	4
2.3	Endangered Species Act, 2007	4
2.4	Species at Risk Act	5
2.5	Fisheries Act.....	5
3.0	Technical Approach and Data Collection Methods.....	6
3.1	Background Information Review.....	6
3.2	Consultation and Agency Correspondence	7
3.3	Field Investigations.....	7
3.3.1	Ecological Land Classification and Vegetation Inventory	7
3.3.2	Wetland Boundary Delineation	8
3.3.3	Surface Water and Drainage Feature Mapping	9
3.3.4	Shoreline and Fish Habitat Assessment.....	9
3.3.5	Breeding Bird Surveys.....	9
3.3.6	Eastern Whip-poor-will Surveys	10
3.3.7	Amphibian Breeding Surveys	10
3.3.1	Turtle Surveys	11
3.3.2	Deer Wintering Habitat Survey	11
3.3.3	Bat Maternity Roost Habitat Surveys.....	12
3.3.4	Habitat-Based Wildlife Surveys	13
4.0	Characterization of Natural Features and Functions.....	14
4.1	Landscape Position and Topography	15
4.2	Vegetation Communities	16
4.3	Wetland Delineation	19



4.4	Fish and Fish Habitat	20
4.5	Wildlife Survey Results.....	22
4.5.1	Birds	22
4.5.2	Amphibians.....	23
4.5.3	Reptiles	24
4.5.4	Mammals.....	25
4.6	Significant Wildlife Habitat.....	25
4.6.1	Deer Wintering Habitat (Stratum I and II)	25
4.6.2	Turtle Nesting Areas.....	26
4.6.3	Amphibian Breeding Habitat (Woodland/Wetland)	26
4.6.4	Rare Vegetation Communities (Bog).....	27
4.7	Species of Conservation Concern	27
4.7.1	Endangered and Threatened Species.....	27
4.7.2	Special Concern Species	28
5.0	Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures.....	30
5.1	Wetlands, Waterbodies, Watercourses and Fish Habitat	30
5.2	Significant Wildlife Habitat.....	33
5.3	Habitat of Endangered and Threatened Species.....	34
5.4	Rare Vegetation Communities.....	35
5.5	Mitigation Measures and Best Management Practices.....	35
6.0	Policy Conformity.....	41
7.0	Summary of Recommended Mitigation Measures and Best Practices....	43
8.0	Closing	46
9.0	References	47
10.0	Glossary of Terms	50



List of Inserted Tables

Table 1	Summary of Field Investigations.....	14
Table 2	Vegetation Communities.....	17
Table 3	Summary of Amphibian Survey Results.....	23
Table 4	Summary of Reptile Survey Results	24
Table 5	Mitigation Measures and Best Management Practice Recommendations	36
Table 6	PPS Natural Heritage Conformity Summary	41

List of Appended Figures

Figure 1	Site Location and Natural Heritage Policy Areas
Figure 2	Natural Heritage Features
Figure 3	Natural Heritage Constraints
Figure 4	Natural Heritage Constraints with Site Plan
Figure 5	Blanding's Turtle General Habitat

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Conceptual Site Plans
Appendix B	Correspondence
Appendix C	Topographic Survey
Appendix D	Vegetation Species List
Appendix E	Photographic Log
Appendix F	Fish Species List
Appendix G	Bird Species List
Appendix H	Species of Conservation Concern Screening
Appendix I	Significant Wildlife Habitat Assessment



1.0 Introduction

Cambium Inc. (Cambium) was retained by 2463756 Ontario Inc. to conduct an Environmental Impact Study (EIS; the Study), for an unnumbered property along the eastern shorelines of Elephant Lake and Benoir Lake, in the Municipality of Dysart et al, Haliburton County, Ontario. The landowner is pursuing an Official Plan Amendment (OPA), Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBA), and Draft Plan of Subdivision application for a shoreline residential subdivision. Note that the proposed residential development is now proceeding under two phases. The Northern Phase is located on Benoir Lake Road, east of Benoir Lake (Lots #1-25). The Southern Phase is located along the shoreline of Elephant Lake (Lots #26-63). The following report is specific to the proposed Southern Phase (the Site).

The Environmental Impact Study (the Study) is required to address potential negative impacts to natural heritage features identified during the preliminary development review process, as required by the Provincial Planning Statement, 2024 (PPS) and local municipal Official Plans. The Site contains or is adjacent to (i.e., within 120 m of) the following mapped natural heritage and/or hydrologic features: Benoir Lake (fish habitat), Elephant Lake (fish habitat), the York River (fish habitat), unevaluated wetlands, unnamed watercourses, and candidate significant wildlife habitat (SWH). The Site is within Ecoregion 5E of Ontario (Crins, Gray, Uhlig, & Wester, 2009) and is located outside of a defined Settlement Area.

The *Endangered Species Act, 2007* (ESA) protects endangered or threatened species and their habitats from harm or destruction. Habitat of endangered and threatened species is protected under provincial natural heritage policy; however, it is also the landowner's responsibility to ensure that no harm to these species occurs on their property. This Study includes a habitat-based screening for species of conservation concern to determine if the Site has suitable habitat for any provincial or federal species at risk (SAR).

Cambium has conducted this Study to provide an evaluation of reasonably anticipated ecological impacts, positive or negative, that may arise as a result of this proposed



development to guide the decision-making process, pursuant to Study requirements established by the local approval authorities.

1.1 Summary of Proposed Development

The property is located at the municipal address of 0 Benoir Lake Road, in the Municipality of Dysart et al, Haliburton County, Ontario. The property can also be referenced as Parts or whole of: Conc 8 Lot 27-31, Con 9 Lot 27-33, Con 10 Lot 27-31, Con 11 27-31, and Con 12 Lot 32-33, Township of Harcourt, Municipality of Dysart et al., County of Haliburton, Ontario. In total, the subject property is approximately 800 ha in size. The Southern Phase accounts for 85.34 ha.

The Site is currently undeveloped and rural, consisting primarily of forested vegetation communities. Adjacent lands consist of undeveloped lands to the east. The proposed development for the Southern Phase consists of 38 seasonal waterfront residences. The frontage of these lots is defined by the width of the lake, all conforming to the minimum requirement of 60 m, with sufficient space for a dwelling, a septic bed, and privately serviced potable water well. Lots #26 and #52 are a proposed as amenity areas with no residences or dwellings. The details of these amenity areas were not available at the time of reporting but potential uses include outdoor museum exhibits, a petting zoo, children's playground, community garden, and a golf practice range. A shared clubhouse lot has been proposed between Lot #48 and #49. This clubhouse lot will include a clubhouse and associated parking and docking facilities. The conceptual site plans are provided in Appendix A.



2.0 Applicable Natural Heritage Policy and Regulation

2.1 Provincial Planning Statement, 2024

The PPS provides direction on matters of provincial interest related to land use planning and development. Section 4.1 of the PPS (Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, 2024) protects the form and function of eight types of significant natural heritage features, which include:

- significant wetlands in Ecoregions 5E, 6E, and 7E
- significant coastal wetlands
- significant woodlands in Ecoregions 6E and 7E
- significant valleylands in Ecoregions 6E and 7E
- significant wildlife habitat (SWH)
- significant areas of natural and scientific interest (ANSI)
- fish habitat
- habitat of endangered and threatened species
- coastal wetlands in Ecoregions 5E, 6E, and 7E

Given their significance, development and site alteration are prohibited within provincially significant wetlands (PSW) in Ecoregions 5E, 6E, and 7E and within significant coastal wetlands. Development and site alteration in fish habitat and the habitat of endangered and threatened species shall only be permitted in accordance with provincial and federal requirements. Development and site alteration within other natural heritage features and on lands adjacent to all natural heritage features may be permitted if it is demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the feature or its ecological function. The PPS defines “development” as the creation of a new lot, a change in land use, or the construction of buildings and structures requiring approval under the Planning Act. “Site alteration” means activities, such as grading, excavation and the placement of fill that would change the landform and natural vegetative characteristics of a site.



Section 4.2 of the PPS protects the quality and quantity of water, including the form and hydrologic function of sensitive surface water features and sensitive ground water features. Focus is given to maintaining hydrologic linkages and functions at the watershed scale to minimize potential negative impacts, including cross-jurisdictional and cross-watershed impacts of development. Mitigative measures and/or alternative development approaches should be considered for development near water features.

2.2 Official Plans and Zoning By-Law

Schedule A 'Land Use' of the County of Haliburton (The County) Official Plan (OP) designates the property as 'Rural' with the shoreline and offshore wetland communities mapped as a 'PSW'. Schedule K - Animal Natural Heritage of the County OP identifies Deer Wintering Area Stratum 1 and 2 on the subject Site. Schedule L - Natural Heritage identifies lake capacity status; however, neither Benoir Lake or Elephant Lake are classified. The subject EIS was scoped to address the requirements for an Environmental Impact Study as outlined in Section 5.3.5 of the County OP.

According to Municipality of Dysart et al Official Plan mapping, the Site is primarily designated as 'Rural' with the shoreline area identified as 'Waterfront Residential Area'. Adjacent lands are designated 'Environmental Protection Area', 'PSW', and 'Waterfront Residential Area'.

According to municipal Zoning By-law mapping, the Site is zoned Rural Type 1 and Rural Type 1L. The shoreline is zoned as RU1L. The adjacent lands are zoned Environmental Protection. Benoir and Elephant Lake, as well as the reach of the York River that connects both lakes, are zoned 'Lake or River'.

2.3 Endangered Species Act, 2007

Species listed as endangered or threatened on the Species at Risk in Ontario (SARO) list are protected under the provincial *Endangered Species Act, 2007* (ESA) (Government of Ontario, 2007). Section 9(1) of the ESA prohibits a person from killing, harming, harassing, capturing, or taking a member of a species listed as endangered, threatened, or extirpated. Section 10(1) of the ESA prohibits the damage or destruction of habitat of species listed as endangered or



threatened. Protection of special concern species is provided through designation of their habitat as significant wildlife habitat (SWH), a provincially protected natural heritage feature.

2.4 Species at Risk Act

The federal *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) was adopted in 2002 to prevent endangered or threatened species from becoming extinct or extirpated, to help in the recovery of endangered, threatened, and extirpated species, and to manage species of special concern to help prevent them from becoming endangered or threatened. Habitat which is deemed necessary for the survival/recovery of a listed wildlife species, referred to as Critical Habitat, is protected under Section 56 of the SARA. The SARA applies to all federal lands in Canada; however, at-risk aquatic and migratory bird species located on private property in Ontario also receive protection under the Act.

2.5 Fisheries Act

Works within and adjacent to lakes, watercourses, and other bodies of water containing fish have the potential to impact fish and/or fish habitat. As a result of amendments to the federal Fisheries Act in 2019, projects near water that could potentially impact fish or fish habitat may require Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) review. The primary purpose of the review is to determine whether harmful alteration, disruption, or destruction (HADD) of fish habitat, as defined by the Act, can be avoided. The DFO Fisheries Protection Program provides a Decision Framework and guidance material applicable to these reviews (available on-line at www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/pnw-ppe/index-eng.html). If it is determined that "HADD" may be unavoidable, the project should be submitted to DFO for review and determination of project approach and conditions of approval.



3.0 Technical Approach and Data Collection Methods

3.1 Background Information Review

Existing background information pertaining to the Site and surrounding landscape was compiled and reviewed, as part of a comprehensive desktop exercise, to better understand local biophysical conditions. In Central Ontario, readily available data includes orthoimagery, topographic base mapping, and geological records. Natural environment and land use schedules prepared in support of Official Plans and Zoning By-Laws were reviewed to acquire municipal data. Natural area and species occurrence records were obtained from digital resources and reference materials. The comprehensive desktop review for this Site included the following resources:

- Natural Heritage Areas: Make-a-map (Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2018); Accessed December 3, 2020
- Aquatic Species at Risk Maps - Ontario (Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2018); Accessed October 22, 2021
- Aquatic Resource Area Summary Data (Government of Ontario, 2015); Accessed October 22, 2021
- Fish ON-Line (Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2018); Accessed October 22, 2021
- Ontario Reptile and Amphibian Atlas (ORAA) (Ontario Nature, 2018); Accessed October 5, 2021
- Ontario Breeding Birds Atlas (OBBA) (2001-2005) (Bird Studies Canada, 2005); Accessed October 5, 2021
- County of Haliburton Official Plan (County of Haliburton, 2017)
- Municipality of Dysart et al. Official Plan and Zoning By-law maps



Figure 1 shows provincially mapped natural heritage features present in the general area of the Site.

3.2 Consultation and Agency Correspondence

Regulatory agency consultation may include Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF), and the Ministry of Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP), as applicable. The MECP is responsible for administering the ESA and providing direction on potential compliance issues. MECP has prepared a guidance document titled *Client's Guide to Preliminary Screening for Species at Risk* (Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, 2019). This document aims to “help clients better understand their obligation to gather information and complete a preliminary screening for SAR before contacting the Ministry”. This document was used to guide the SAR habitat-based screening for the Study.

A natural heritage information request was submitted to the local MNRF Bancroft District office; however, no response was received (see Appendix B).

3.3 Field Investigations

Information gathered through the background information review was used to guide the development of the fieldwork program. The purpose of the field visit(s) was to verify information acquired through existing documentation and to gather additional site-specific information. The following sections detail the methodologies that were applied.

3.3.1 Ecological Land Classification and Vegetation Inventory

The Ecological Land Classification (ELC) System for Southern Ontario (Lee, et al., 1998) was used to classify vegetation communities on the Site. Definitions of vegetation types are derived from the ELC for Southern Ontario First Approximation Field Guide (Lee, et al., 1998) and the revised 2008 tables. ELC units were initially delineated and classified by orthoimagery interpretation. Field investigations served to confirm the type and extent of communities on the Site through vegetation inventory and soil assessment with a hand auger. Where vegetation



communities extend off the Site, classification is done through observation from property boundaries and publicly accessible lands.

3.3.2 Wetland Boundary Delineation

In Ontario, wetlands are mapped and evaluated under the Ontario Wetland Evaluation System (OWES). Mapped evaluated wetlands have undergone extensive study and been assessed based on their form and function under four categories: Biological, Social, Hydrological, and Special Features (Ministry of Natural Resources, 2014). Evaluated wetlands that score high enough are deemed Provincially Significant Wetlands (PSW). Evaluated wetlands that did not score high enough to be a PSW are called Locally Significant Wetlands (LSW). The province also maps unevaluated wetlands. These mapped wetlands are approximate; as such, they require field verification in order to confirm their presence and determine their boundaries.

The subject wetland was delineated following provincially approved methods outlined in the Ontario Wetland Evaluation System: Southern Manual, 3rd Ed. (Ministry of Natural Resources, 2014). Fieldwork was carried out by provincially certified Cambium staff.

The Site was visited under various seasonal conditions, to document the extent of surface flooding under high and low water conditions. This information is used to assist with the determination of wetland boundaries during the growing season.

Unevaluated wetland boundaries were initially delineated and classified by orthoimagery interpretation. The presence/absence of wetlands on the Site was confirmed through field investigations during the growing season (late May through October). Wetland boundaries were determined using the 50% wetland vegetation rule. Where vegetation-based delineation was inconclusive, soil assessment with a hand auger was used to confirm wetland boundaries. Wetland boundaries on the Site were marked with a hand-held GPS unit and staked in the field. Where wetland communities extend off the Site, classification was done through observation from property boundaries and publicly accessible lands.



3.3.3 Surface Water and Drainage Feature Mapping

Presence, location, boundary, and direction of flow were confirmed for all surface water features on and adjacent to the Site through visual investigation. Where feasible, the substrate type and cover features of surface water features were also noted. Indicators of surface drainage, including erosion of soils, gullies, and sediment deposition areas were noted and traced to identify sources of erosion. All watercourse and drainage feature crossings were noted and GPS marked in the field, including bridges, culverts, and bed-level crossings.

3.3.4 Shoreline and Fish Habitat Assessment

Surface water features were initially identified using topographic mapping during a desktop review. Fisheries records were reviewed to better understand the local fish species assemblages and thermal habitats. Field investigations were completed by canoe, to document the existing shoreline conditions and search for areas of the shoreline that would be suitable for future docking facilities. The extent and quality of fish habitat was determined through a general assessment of substrates, in-water cover, riparian vegetation, water depth, and flow.

3.3.5 Breeding Bird Surveys

Two breeding bird surveys timed 7-10 days apart were carried out during the peak breeding season, between May 24 and July 10. Point counts were complete using components of the Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas (OBBA) Guide for Participants (Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas, 2001) and the Forest Bird Monitoring Program (Cadman, Dewar, & Welsh, 1998) based on habitat characteristics. As outlined in the OBBA protocol, point counts are to be done between dawn and five hours after dawn, when wind speed is low (<19 km/h) and in the absence of rain or thick fog. All species observations (visual and auditory) were recorded during a five minute period. Each species observed was classified and assigned a code based on the highest level of breeding evidence, as defined by the protocol: Confirmed, Probable, Possible or Observed.



3.3.6 Eastern Whip-poor-will Surveys

The Eastern Whip-poor-will (*Caprimulgus vociferus*) is a SAR listed as threatened on the SARO list. It is usually found in areas with a mix of open and forested areas, such as patchy forests with clearings, forests that are regenerating after major disturbances, savannahs, open woodlands, or openings in more mature forests. In order to determine if the Site is being used as nesting habitat by Eastern Whip-poor-will, avian surveys were conducted following the approved MNDMRF protocol (Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2013). Surveys are to be conducted three times between May 18 and June 30, with two surveys being conducted during the first full moon cycle and one survey conducted in the next full moon cycle. Since moon phase is known to affect calling activity, the moon should be greater than 50% illuminated above the horizon (generally one week prior to and following the full moon). Conditions should include nights with temperatures above 10°C, no precipitation, low noise levels, wind <19 km/h (Beaufort Wind Scale of 3 or lower), and clear skies. Points should be established 500 m apart and all species observations (visual and auditory) recorded during a five minute period. Observations should be recorded with the direction and approximate distance from the survey station.

Two Eastern Whip-poor-will surveys were conducted on the Site. The third survey was ultimately determined to be unnecessary, consistent with applicable protocol, given that no Whip-poor-will were recorded during the first two surveys.

3.3.7 Amphibian Breeding Surveys

The presence of frog and toad breeding habitat was assessed using auditory surveys following the Marsh Monitoring Program Participant's Handbook for Surveying Amphibians (Bird Studies Canada, 2008). According to the protocol, three amphibian surveys should be conducted between April and July, at least 15 days apart, in order to span the breeding seasons of all species that may be present in an area. Air temperature is the primary factor in determining survey dates, as different species call when air and water temperatures reach certain levels; therefore, nighttime air temperature should be greater than 5°C for the first survey, greater than 10°C for the second survey and greater than 17°C for the third survey. Other weather



conditions are also taken into consideration. Conditions are considered appropriate when wind speed is low (<19 km/h; Beaufort Wind Scale of 3 or lower) and there is light or no precipitation occurring (high humidity is ideal but heavier rain can impact ability to hear and differentiate calls). Sample points are established during the first survey and re-visited during following surveys. At each sample point, calls from all species are aurally surveyed for 3 minutes and noted to the greatest extent possible, on a 100 m semi-circular area in front of the sampling station using call intensity codes established by the protocol:

- Code 0: No calls heard
- Code 1: Calls can be counted individually (calls do not overlap)
- Code 2: Calls overlap, but numbers of individuals can be estimated
- Code 3: Calls overlap and are continuous (full chorus); therefore, a count estimate is unreliable

Recommended monitoring windows for the Site (located between the 43rd and 47th parallels) are April 15-30, May 15-30, and June 15-30.

3.3.1 Turtle Surveys

Turtle activity on and adjacent to the Site was assessed by applying Visual Encounter components of the Survey Protocol for Blanding's Turtle (*Emydoidea blandingii*) in Ontario (Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2015). According to the protocol, visual encounter surveys (VES) should be conducted between 8 am and 5 pm during sunny periods when air temperature is above 5°C and is warmer than water temperature. All individual sightings and signs of activity such as disturbed soils, tracks, predated nests, etc. were recorded.

3.3.2 Deer Wintering Habitat Survey

MNRF is responsible for identifying deer wintering areas in the Province. Stratum 1 locations are the core wintering areas and include forests with greater than 60 percent canopy closure (conifer cover preferred). Stratum 2 areas include agricultural lands or deciduous / mixed forests where deer congregate before moving into the core area when winter conditions are



more severe. Congregation areas are typically greater than 100 hectares, though conifer plantations less than 50 hectares may also be used. A travel corridor to the yard from the congregation area is required. To determine the significance of a congregation area, the NDMRF conducts an assessment, typically during January or February when the snow depth is greater than 20 centimeters (cm), using techniques such as aerial, ground, or road surveys or a pellet count deer density survey. Also, since deer tend to re-use the same congregation areas year after year, local hunters, conservation officers, and foresters may know if a specific location is used as a wintering area.

Within an area mapped as Stratum 1 or Stratum 2, site-specific information can be gathered through a Study to provide an assessment of the wintering area quality, such as the extent and quality of conifer cover and estimated quantity of food available (Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2015). Deer wintering areas are identified and mapped as significant wildlife habitat (SWH) by MNRF and this mapping cannot be altered by a site-level Study.

3.3.3 Bat Maternity Roost Habitat Surveys

Bats present in Ontario typically require a snag or cavity tree for maternity roosting habitat. A snag or cavity tree is defined as a standing live or dead tree ≥ 25 cm diameter at breast height (DBH), with cracks, crevices, hollows, cavities and/or loose or naturally exfoliating bark appropriate for bat roosting. High quality or SWH is defined as woodlands with greater than 10 roost trees per hectare. To determine if suitable habitat for bats existed on/or adjacent to the Site, Cambium staff conducted a bat maternity roost survey using the methods detailed in the *Bat and Bat Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects* (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 2011). The protocol requires that for sites with ≤ 10 ha of deciduous or mixed treed forest or swamp ELC community types (i.e. FOD, FOM, SWD, SWM), a minimum of 10 randomly selected plots are to be surveyed, with an additional plot added per hectare, to a maximum of 35 plots for the project area. At each plot, the number of snag/cavity trees ≥ 25 cm DBH within a 12.6 m radius (0.05 ha) is to be recorded. A calculation is then made to determine the snag density and if the number of cavity trees found meets the criteria for maternity surveys.



3.3.4 Habitat-Based Wildlife Surveys

Given the scale of the proposed development and the existing natural character of the Site, a habitat-based approach was used to assess potential impacts to wildlife, consistent with standard practice. General habitat information gathered through the field investigations was used to assess the connectivity of the Site with the surrounding landscape and evaluate the ecological significance of the local area. Cambium staff actively searched for features that may provide specialized habitat for wildlife. These searches included inspecting tree cavities, overturning logs, rocks, and debris, and scanning for scat, browse, sheds, fur, etc. Any evidence of breeding, forage, shelter, or nesting was noted. Species and habitat observations were documented and photographed.



4.0 Characterization of Natural Features and Functions

Data acquired through the background information review and field investigations is summarized in the following sections. Based on the information gathered, an assessment of significance has been completed to identify protected natural heritage features on and/or adjacent to the Site.

A summary of the field investigations completed on the Site is presented in Table 1. Surveys stations and areas are shown on Figure 2.

Table 1 Summary of Field Investigations

Date	Time On Site	Weather	Observer	Activities
2021-03-31	8:00-16:30	6°C, Overcast. Light rain Wind: 1 Noise: 0	E. Silhanek & C. Johnson	Bat Maternity Roost Habitat Survey Deer Wintering Habitat Survey
2021-04-14	7:00-15:00	10°C Periods of cloud	K. McKitterick & C. Johnson	Turtle Basking Survey, ELC, Vegetation Survey, Bat Habitat Roost Survey
2021-04-28	12:00 – 17:00	12°C, Partly cloudy	K. McKitterick & C. Johnson	Turtle Basking Survey, ELC, Vegetation Survey
2021-05-04	19:00 - 22:45	9°C, light rain Wind:1 Noise:0	K. McKitterick & C. Johnson	ELC, Amphibian Breeding Survey #1
2021-05-11	10:00 – 17:00	15°C Clear	K. McKitterick & C. Johnson	Turtle Basking Survey, ELC, Vegetation Survey
2021-05-26	19:00 – 00:00	15°C Overcast Wind: 1	K. McKitterick & C. Johnson	Eastern Whip-poor-will Survey #1 and Amphibian Calls #2



Date	Time On Site	Weather	Observer	Activities
		Noise: 0		
2021-06-02	8:45-14:15	14-25°C, Clear Wind: 0	D. Langlois & J. Prah	Shoreline and Fish Habitat Assessment
2021-06-02	06:00-14:00	20°C, Clear Wind: 1 Noise: 0	K. McKitterick & C. Johnson	Breeding Bird Survey #1 (Northern Phase), ELC, Vegetation Survey, Turtle Basking Survey
2021-06-16	06:00-14:00	25°C, Clear Wind:1 Noise: 0	K. McKitterick	Breeding Bird Survey #1 (Southern Phase), Turtle Basking Survey
2021-06-23	14:00 – 23:00	20°C Clear - 15°C and partly cloudy after dark	K. McKitterick	Amphibian Breeding Survey #3, Wetland Delineation, Eastern Whip-poor-will Survey #2
2021-07-09	05:00-13:00	25°C, Clear Wind:1 Noise: 0	K. McKitterick	Breeding Bird Survey #2, ELC, Vegetation Survey
2021-09-09	07:00-13:00	22°C, scattered cloud	J. Prah & K. McKitterick	ELC, Vegetation Survey, Wetland Delineation

Notes: Wind speed is reported as a Beaufort Wind Scale value (0 = 0-2 kph, 1 = 3-5 kph, 2 = 6-11 kph, 3 = 12-19 kph, 4 = 20-30 kph, 5 = 31-39 kph, 6 = 40-50 kph). Noise is reported based on background noise levels: Index 0 – no appreciable effect, 1 – slightly affecting sampling, 2 – moderately affecting sampling, 3 – seriously affecting sampling, 4 – profoundly affecting sampling.

4.1 Landscape Position and Topography

The Site is located within the Ontario Shield Ecozone: Georgian Bay Ecozone 5E, which is in south-central Ontario, extending southeast from Lake Superior to the central portion of the Ottawa River valley in the east, including Parry Sound, Perth, North Bay, Sudbury, and Sault Ste. Marie. This Ecozone is characterized by frequently exposed bedrock, shallow soils, and



mixed forests representative of the Great Lakes – St. Lawrence Forest Region (Lee, et al., 1998).

This Site contains variable topography typical of the local area, with a prominent bedrock ridge in the eastern portion of the Site running parallel to Benoir Lake. The Site generally slopes towards the adjacent waterbodies (York River, Benoir Lake, and Elephant Lake). The Site is occupied by a mix of depressional wetlands, bedrock ridges and cliffs, and undulating, forested upland areas. Detailed topographic LIDAR data for the Site, collected by an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV), was used to inform the engineering design process, and corroborate natural feature mapping. The topographic survey conducted by Drone Services Canada Inc. on June 1 and 2, 2021 is provided in Appendix C.

The Site is located along the north shore of Elephant Lake. The area slopes gradually towards the south before leveling off near the shoreline. Several prominent ridges and more steeply sloped areas are located throughout the Phase 2 lands. A number of low-lying depressional wetlands are also present in this area; however, the larger more contiguous wetlands areas are located on adjacent lands and along the shoreline. Natural feature mapping is presented on Figure 1 and Figure 2.

Upland soil conditions were classified as primarily sandy soils. A detailed Geotechnical and Hydrogeology report and Site Evaluation Report were prepared for the Site by King EPCM (submitted under separate cover), which provide additional information regarding soils, topography, and slope hazards on the Site.

4.2 Vegetation Communities

Aerial orthoimagery for the area was reviewed prior to undertaking field investigations. The vegetation cover is dominated mostly by upland, mixed and deciduous forest stands with scattered pockets of coniferous species. Several wetland communities, including large marsh communities, have also been identified on the Site and on adjacent lands.



Vegetation community classifications were verified through field investigations and are summarized in Table 2. ELC communities are also shown on Figure 2. A list of identified species and representative photos are provided in Appendix D and Appendix E, respectively.

Table 2 Vegetation Communities

No .	ELC Code	Community Description	Community Type	S - Rank
1	FOD5-3	Dry – Fresh Sugar Maple – Oak Deciduous Forest	Terrestrial	S5
2	FOC1-2	Dry -Fresh White Pine - Red Pine Coniferous Forest	Terrestrial	S4
3	FOM6-2	Moist - Fresh Hemlock - Sugar Maple Mixed Forest	Terrestrial	S4S5
4	MAS2-1	Cattail Mineral Shallow Marsh	Wetland	S5
7	SWM5-4	Red Maple – Balsam Fir Mixed Organic Swamp	Wetland	S5
8	MAS3-1	Cattail Organic Shallow Marsh	Wetland	S5
9	BOO1	Open Graminoid Bog	Wetland	S5
10	FES1-1	Sweet Gale Shrub Fen	Wetland	S5

Community 1 was a mature Dry – Fresh Sugar Maple – Oak Deciduous Forest. This community was dominated by Sugar Maple (*Acer saccharum*) and co-dominated by Northern Red Oak (*Quercus rubra*) and American Beech (*Fagus grandifolia*). Associates include Black Cherry (*Prunus serotina*), Eastern Hop-hornbeam (*Ostrya virginiana*), Red Maple (*Acer rubrum*) and Yellow Birch (*Betula alleghaniensis*). Dominance varied throughout the community with portions being more Sugar Maple and American Beech dominate or Red Oak and American Beech dominate. Canopy cover was dense. Understory was very dense in areas and minimal in other areas of the community. When present it was dominated by Striped Maple (*Acer pensylvanicum*) and Hobble Bush (*Viburnum lantanoides*) with one species dominating at times in areas. Associate species included Eastern Prickly Gooseberry (*Ribes cynosbati*), and Mountain Maple (*Acer spicatum*). The groundcover was sparse with some



exposed rock in areas. Groundcover consisted of Wild Sarsaparilla (*Aralia nudicaulis*), Wild Lily of the Valley (*Maianthemum canadense*), Yellow Clintonia (*Clintonia borealis*), and various ferns including Western Bracken Fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*), Marginal Wood Fern (*Dryopteris marginalis*) and Evergreen Wood Fern (*Dryopteris intermedia*).

Community 2 was a mature Dry-Fresh White Pine - Red Pine Coniferous Forest. This was an Eastern White Pine (*Pinus strobus*) dominated forest. The canopy was dense with some opens where blowdown has occurred. Associate species included Red Pine (*Pinus resinosa*), Eastern White Cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*), Eastern Hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*) and Balsam Fir (*Abies balsamea*). Understory was minimal but when present consisted of Striped Maple or Hobblebush. Groundcover was sparse but when present dominated by Yellow Clintonia, Red Trillium (*Trillium erectum*), Marginal Wood Fern and Rattlesnake Fern (*Botrypus virginianus*).

Community 3 was a mature Moist - Fresh Hemlock - Sugar Maple Mixed Forest. This forest community was dominated by Eastern Hemlock and Sugar Maple. Associate species included American Beech, Red Maple, Yellow Birch. The canopy was dense. Understory was minimal throughout most of the community and consisted of Stiped Maple, Hobble Bush, Alternate Leaved Dogwood (*Cornus alternifolia*) and Northern Bush Honeysuckle (*Diervilla lonicera*). Groundcover was minimal but when present included Red Trillium, Wild Lily of the Valley, and various ferns including Evergreen Wood Fern, Marginal Wood Fern and Ostrich Fern (*Matteuccia struthiopteris*).

Community 4 was a Cattail Mineral Shallow Marsh. This community is associated with the Baptiste - Elephant Lake PSW mapping overlapping the Site in two locations, as well as two mapped watercourses, directing flows southward towards Elephant Lake. The dominant species was Broad-leaved Cattail (*Typha latifolia*) with various other wetland species present such as Reed Canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), Fragrant Water-lily (*Nymphaea odorata* ssp. *odorata*), Northern Arrowhead (*Sagittaria cuneata*) and Sensitive Fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*).

Community 7 was a mature Red Maple – Balsam Fir Mixed Organic Swamp. The community was dominated by Balsam Fir and to a lesser extent Red Maple. Associate species included



Tamarack and Yellow Birch. The canopy was dense with openings where blow down occurred. The understory was sparse but when present included Grey Dogwood and Red Osier Dogwood. The groundcover was sparse but contained dense patches of ferns including Bulbet Bladder Fern (*Cystopteris bulbifera*), Common Lady Fern (*Athyrium filix-femina*), and Sensitive Fern with Running Clubmoss and Yellow Clintonia throughout.

Community 8 was a Cattail Organic Shallow Marsh, located along the shoreline of Elephant Lake, included in the provincial mapping of the Baptiste - Elephant Lake PSW. Pickerelweed, Community 9 was an Open Graminoid Bog. This is a small community located inside another wetland (Community 7). This community was documented as a floating vegetation mat, at the base of a slope consisting of Three-way Sedge (*Dulichium arundinaceum var. arundinaceum*), Steeplebush (*Spiraea tomentosa var. tomentosa*), Marsh Fern (*Thelypteris palustris*), Brownish Sedge (*Carex brunnescens ssp. brunnescens*), and Tawny Cottongrass (*Eriophorum virginicum*).

Community 10 was a Sweet Gale Shrub Fen, located along the edge of the shoreline between the larger wetland communities, located offshore.

A search for Butternut (provincially endangered) was completed as part of the vegetation survey; no Butternut trees were identified.

4.3 Wetland Delineation

The Baptiste - Elephant Lake PSW is located on/adjacent to the Site. This PSW is a wetland complex comprised of 59 individual wetland units. The PSW has communities of all four wetland types: 66% swamp, 29% marsh, 3% fen, and 2% bog. Its soils are sandy and fibric. Based on the historical records, the PSW provides nesting habitat for colonial waterbirds, waterfowl staging and moulting habitat, waterfowl breeding habitat, a stopover area for migratory birds, ungulate habitat and summer cover, moose aquatic feeding area, and fish spawning and nursery habitat. (Lewis & Irwin, 1994).

In addition to the existing mapped PSW, several small pockets of unevaluated mapped wetlands were also identified on/adjacent to the Site. These communities as well as several



unmapped wetland communities were investigated in the field. The boundaries for the existing wetlands (PSW and unevaluated wetlands) were both refined and expanded across the entirety of the Site. Where necessary, GPS was used to demarcate changes to the existing wetland boundary. A combination of hi-resolution DEM mapping of the Site, aerial imagery, and GPS data collection was used to determine the boundary of any previously unmapped wetlands areas. Wetland communities on/adjacent to the Site are shown on Figure 2. The boundaries of these wetlands are clearly defined based on species present, hydrological conditions, and in some areas, topography clearly delineates the wetland edge.

4.4 Fish and Fish Habitat

Benoir Lake and Elephant Lake, as well as the reach of the York River which connects these waterbodies are all located on lands adjacent to the Site. These waterbodies support a diverse fish community and provide thermal habitat conditions varying from warmwater to coolwater, in deep water areas. Appendix F includes a list of fish species known to occur in the subject waterbodies, based on the background information sources, and species-specific life history information. No critical habitat or aquatic species at risk are known to occur on or adjacent to the Site, according to Aquatic Species at Risk Mapping (Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 2018).

Several unnamed permanent and intermittent watercourses were documented on/adjacent to the Site. These watercourses flow west and south, towards Benoir Lake and Elephant Lake, and are hydrologically connected to several unevaluated wetlands on the Site. Base flow through these watercourses was minimal or absent in the latter part of the growing season. Additionally, these watercourses contained rocky and cobbled substrates with little in-stream vegetation. On-site watercourses are unlikely to provide significant direct habitat for fish due to the existing steep topography and intermittent flows; however, they do provide contributing habitat for fish.

The existing trail/roadway on the Site crosses several of the unnamed watercourses, with flows conveyed under the trail through existing culverts, as shown on Figure 2. Culverts on the Site consisted of both galvanized steel culverts and HDPE culverts with diameters ranging from 12" to 30". Representative photos are provided in Appendix E.



Existing conditions along the shoreline were investigated from the water. The shoreline was divided into five reaches for the purpose of the assessment, based on feature characteristics, as shown on Figure 2.

The nearshore area within Reach 1-E contained dense emergent aquatic vegetation (Watershield, Yellow Pond-lily, Fragrant Water-lily, and Pickerelweed) and a moderate coverage of submerged aquatic macrophytes. Surficial substrates consisted of fines and organic detritus. Rafted woody material and shoreline vegetation, within the lacustrine wetlands, provide a high amount of cover for fish. This area would be considered critical (i.e., Type 1) fish habitat as it likely provides nursery habitat for a variety of fish species native to the system. This nearshore area is also identified as PSW on provincial mapping.

Reach 1-W is mapped as a PSW, similar to the adjacent Reach 1-E; however, this nearshore area exhibited a lesser degree of submerged vegetation and less rafted woody material within the littoral area. In addition, the aquatic vegetation in this area was more restricted, being documented only within approximately 15 m of the shoreline. Emergent aquatic vegetation was present in Reach 1-W within 10-15 m from shoreline. Centrarchids were observed within Reach 1-W during the shoreline habitat assessment. This area would be considered critical fish habitat as it likely provides nursery habitat to a variety of fish species.

Water depth in Reach 2-E was notably greater than in other reaches along the Site frontage, reaching greater than 1 m at approximately 10 m from the shoreline. Aquatic vegetation was sparse and nearshore substrates consisted of fines (sand) in this area. Reach 2-E has been identified as a potential preferred water access location as it exhibited fish habitat conditions of marginal quality, with limited overhanging riparian vegetation, sparse patches of emergent aquatic vegetation, and sparser woody rafted material, providing limited opportunity for fish to carry out critical life processes (i.e., spawning) relative to the surrounding area. In addition, this area is excluded from the provincial PSW mapping.

Reach 2-M was also identified as having a relatively shallow water depth in the nearshore area, as the depth was less than 2 m at approximately 15 m from the shoreline. This nearshore area had dense (i.e., approaching 100%) submerged aquatic vegetation cover with sparse



patches of emergents (Watershield and Fragrant Water-lily). Rafted woody material and overhanging shoreline vegetation provided a moderate amount of nearshore cover. This area would be considered critical fish habitat as it likely provides nursery habitat to a variety of fish species. This area is identified as a PSW on provincial mapping.

The nearshore area within Reach 2-W contained dense emergent aquatic vegetation (Watershield, Yellow Pond-lily, and Fragrant Water-lily) and dense submerged aquatic vegetation. Water depth in this area was notably shallow, with depth approximated to be less than 1 m at 10 m horizontal distance from the shoreline. Reach 2-W is identified as a PSW on provincial mapping.

4.5 Wildlife Survey Results

4.5.1 Birds

Breeding bird surveys were completed as a part of the Study, as detailed in Appendix G. Bird species observed on or adjacent to the Site, breeding evidence, federal and provincial status and s-ranks are provided in Appendix G. Twenty-four records of probable or confirmed breeding evidence were noted (see shaded cells in Appendix G). Species with probable or confirmed breeding evidence **on the Site** included: American Redstart, Common Yellowthroat, Black-capped Chickadee, Black-throated Blue Warbler, Ovenbird, Red-eyed Vireo, Black-throated Green Warbler, Downy Woodpecker, Chestnut-sided Warbler, Pine Warbler, Mallard, and Blue Jay.

An abandoned stick nest was observed in the southeastern portion of the Site, along the shoreline of Elephant Lake. No birds were seen utilizing this nest and based on appearance and observations from early in the field season, the nest was likely used in past years but abandoned during the 2021 field season.

No Eastern Whip-poor-wills were documented calling on or adjacent to the Site during the evening surveys. Conditions were favorable during all surveys. Based on these findings, the Site is not considered occupied habitat for Eastern Whip-poor-will.



Incidental bird observations included American Crow, American Robin, Barred Owl, Black-capped Chickadee, Blue Jay, Brown Creeper, Canada Goose, Cedar Waxwing, Common Raven, Hairy Woodpecker, Hermit Thrush, Ovenbird, Pine Warbler, Red-breasted Nuthatch, Red-winged Blackbird, Ring-necked Duck, Ruby-crowned Kinglet, Ruffed Grouse, and Veery.

Details on species of conservation concern and their protected habitat are provided in Section 4.7, below.

4.5.2 Amphibians

Amphibian breeding surveys were completed and a total of four species were identified on or adjacent to the Site, as shown in Table 3 (bolded species were located *on the Site*). The four species included Spring Peeper, Western Chorus Frog, Pickerel Frog, and Wood Frog. Of these, one had a call level code of 3 (full chorus): Spring Peeper.

Table 3 Summary of Amphibian Survey Results

Sample Point	Survey #	Survey Direction	Species	Maximum Call Intensity	# of Individuals	Inside or Outside 100 m Sample Plot
4	1	W	WOFR	1	2	In
	2	W	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs
	3	W	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs
5	1	W	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs
	2	W	WOFR	1	1	In
			PIFR	-	1	In
	3	W	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs
6	1	W	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs
	2	W	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs	No Obs
	3	W	SPPE	3	-	In
			WCFR	1	1	In

Notes: “-” indicates no calls heard; bolded records relate to on-site observations (as opposed to adjacent lands)

Incidental amphibian observations included American Bullfrog, Green Frog, and Gray Treefrog.



While targeted surveys for salamanders were not completed, areas of potential suitable habitat for these species were investigated during the field investigations. No salamanders were documented, and no signs of salamander breeding was observed.

4.5.3 Reptiles

Five turtle basking surveys were completed on the Site. No turtle species were directly observed during the basking surveys or during other field investigations on the Site.

Searches for turtle nesting activity were also conducted on the Site in conjunction with the basking surveys. Multiple nests were observed at a single location across several site visits, along the shoreline of the existing hunt camp at the end of the existing gravel road. The total number of nests observed at each site visit are shown in Table 4. All nests had been predated at the time of observation. Based on indicators of egg size and shape, and overall nest characteristics, it was determined that the nesting activity was associated with Midland Painted Turtles. Substrates in this relatively confined area of the shoreline were predominantly coarse sand.

Table 4 Summary of Reptile Survey Results

Survey Date	Species	Location	# of obs.	Obs. Type
2021-05-11	Midland Painted Turtle – Nest Site	South end of existing graveled rd. at existing hunt camp location	7	Nest
2021-06-02	Midland Painted Turtle – Nest Site	South end of existing graveled rd. at existing hunt camp location	8	Nest
2021-06-16	Midland Painted Turtle – Nest Site	South end of existing graveled rd. at existing hunt camp location	5	Nest

Incidental reptile observations were recorded during all site visits. The only incidental reptile observation was Northern Watersnake.



4.5.4 Mammals

For the bat maternity roost surveys, a total of 37 plots were surveyed across both phases collectively totaling 1.85 ha of assessment area (i.e., 0.05 ha/plot). A total of 85 candidate snag/cavity trees were documented within the survey plots, resulting in a density estimate of 2.3 candidate trees per hectare across the entire Site. Individual trees that met the criteria were geo-located with a hand-held GPS unit.

Winter surveys for ungulate activity were conducted on March 31, 2021. Snow cover was sparse/absent on the majority of the Site, with a few areas documented with 10 cm of snow depth. Wandering transects were completed throughout the area in search of ungulate signs. Some evidence of Moose activity (i.e., pellets and tracks) was recorded; however, activity levels and browse intensity were low, with only a few pellets and a few track aggregates observed across the Site. Additional discussion regarding Deer Wintering Habitat on the Site is provided in Section 4.6.1.

Incidental mammal observations included Beaver, Eastern Grey Squirrel, and White-tailed Deer.

4.6 Significant Wildlife Habitat

Ecoregion-specific Significant Wildlife Habitat (SWH) guidance documents were used to identify and confirm SWH on the Site (MNR, 2000). The Significant Wildlife Habitat Criteria Schedules for Ecoregion 5E (Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2015) apply to the proposed works. Information gathered during the background review and field investigations was compared to Candidate and, where applicable, Confirmed SWH criteria. The results from the SWH assessment are provided below and a comprehensive assessment is included in Appendix I. Additional details on species of conservation concern and their protected habitats are provided in Section 4.7.

4.6.1 Deer Wintering Habitat (Stratum I and II)

According to the Significant Wildlife Habitat Criteria Schedules for Ecoregion 5E (Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2015), deer overwintering SWH includes deer yarding areas



and cervid (i.e., deer and moose) movement corridors. Deer yarding areas are defined as deer wintering concentration areas that deer move to in response to the onset of winter snow and cold. Deer yards are composed of two habitat types referred to as Stratum I and Stratum II. Stratum II covers the entire winter yard area and usually consists of mixed or deciduous forests with plenty of browse (newest year's growth on trees and shrubs) available for food. The core of a deer yard (Stratum I) is located within Stratum II and provides critical shelter for deer survival when winters become severe. Stratum I habitat is primarily composed of tightly-spaced coniferous trees (pine, hemlock, cedar, spruce).

Existing Provincial mapping shows a Deer Wintering Habitat area (Stratum I and Stratum II) overlapping the Site. Based on the Ecological Land Classification mapping conducted for the Site, Communities 2 and 3 are more likely to be used as Stratum I habitat, as they consist of stands with coniferous trees. The majority of the Site is most likely used as Stratum II habitat as it is composed of deciduous tree cover, providing limited thermal refuge.

4.6.2 Turtle Nesting Areas

According to the Significant Wildlife Habitat Criteria Schedules for Ecoregion 5E (Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2015), turtle nesting habitat includes sandy or gravelly shorelines and areas suitable for digging. A small area of suitable nesting habitat for turtles was observed on the Site (see Table 4), located in the southwest corner of the Site, near the existing hunt camp. Multiple nests were recorded at this location, across three separate site visits. This shoreline nesting habitat was contained within the larger FOD5-3 Community.

4.6.3 Amphibian Breeding Habitat (Woodland/Wetland)

According to the Significant Wildlife Habitat Criteria Schedules for Ecoregion 5E (Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2015) amphibian breeding habitat is defined as wetlands, ponds, and woodland pools. Amphibian breeding surveys were conducted across three separate field visits to the Site and results are provided in Table 3. Amphibian breeding activity was recorded in multiple wetlands on the Site; however, call level codes of recorded did not meet defining criteria limits (see Table 3).



4.6.4 Rare Vegetation Communities (Bog)

A small bog, less than 0.05 ha in area, was observed within the larger ELC Community 7 (SWM5-4). This feature was located in a depression at the base of a slope to the north, and was dominated by Sphagnum Moss, Three-way Sedge, and Leather Leaf. A floating vegetation mat was present, but no lag development was noted, likely a function of the limited size of the community.

4.7 Species of Conservation Concern

A list of species of conservation concern, including species at risk, with potential to occur in the general vicinity of the Site, has been compiled based on known species' ranges, habitat requirements, and review of background information sources (as listed in Section 3.1). In addition, the list has been augmented with direct field observations from the Study, as detailed in the previous sections. Cambium has employed a habitat-based screening, supplemented with targeted field surveys when necessary, in order to identify suitable habitat for species located on or adjacent to the Site. A detailed habitat suitability analysis is provided in Appendix H and a discussion of the results is provided below.

No Critical Habitat for aquatic species at risk listed under SARA was identified in Benoir Lake or Elephant Lake, adjacent to the Site.

4.7.1 Endangered and Threatened Species

Blanding's Turtle is listed as threatened both federally and provincially. They spend most of their life cycle in large wetlands or shallow lakes with high densities of water plants, nutrient rich water, and organic sediment such as found in Communities 4, 8, 9, and 10. This community contains a mix of open water and marsh vegetation and supports the development of organic soils. Basking surveys for Blanding's turtle (and other turtles) were conducted across five separate field visits. No Blanding's turtles were observed on or adjacent to the Site (refer to Section 4.5.3).

Spotted Turtle is semi-aquatic and prefers ponds, marshes, bogs, and ditches with slow-moving, unpolluted water and an abundant supply of aquatic vegetation. This species typically



hibernates in wetlands or seasonally wet areas with structures such as overhanging banks, hummocks, tree roots, or aquatic animal burrows. Communities 4, 8, 9, and 10 may contain suitable habitat for this species; however, no Spotted Turtles were observed on the Site (refer to Section 4.5.3).

Least Bittern (a marshbird) nests in marshes larger than 5-10 ha, such as those found within the main body of Elephant Lake, Benoir Lake, and the York River. Community 8 is located within these large waterbodies and may support habitat for this species. No Least Bittern were recorded during breeding bird surveys or evening amphibian surveys.

Algonquin Wolf prefer deciduous and mixed forest communities, their range covers a large area and regional packs have been tracked within Algonquin park to the north of the site. No evidence of Algonquin Wolf (tracks or scat) was recorded on Site.

Eastern Small-footed Myotis, Little Brown Myotis, and Northern Myotis utilize standing dead trees with suitable cavities for maternity roosting habitat. These species prefer forested habitats with open canopies and forest edges. Communities 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7 may provide habitat for these species. Bat maternity roost surveys were conducted across the entire site on two separate field visits. The approximate density of standing trees containing suitable roosting structures and cavities was below the minimum required to qualify as protected habitat.

4.7.2 Special Concern Species

Wood Thrush prefer deciduous and mixed forest communities with moderate understories with abundant leaf litter such as those found in Community 1. Wood Thrush was heard calling at breeding Bird Station 3 on June 2, 2021, but was not observed on subsequent breeding bird surveys and no probable or confirmed breeding evidence was documented.

Eastern-wood Pewee prefers the mid-canopy layer of intermediated aged deciduous and mixed forested communities with little understory vegetation. While the understory was well developed in most regions of the Site, small patches of reduced understorey within Communities 1, 2, and 3 may support this species. No Eastern-wood Pewee were observed during the breeding bird surveys or incidentally.



Midland Painted Turtle uses waterbodies such as ponds, marshes, lakes, and slow-moving creeks with a soft-bottom and aquatic vegetation as its habitat. Several wetland communities are present on/adjacent to the Site; therefore, the Site provides suitable habitat for this species. No turtles were observed during field investigations.

Snapping Turtle is found in shallow water with soft mud and leaf litter, but travel to gravel or sandy embankments/beaches to lay eggs. Communities 4 and 6 may contain habitat suitable for this species. In addition, adjacent upland communities (i.e., Communities 1, 2, and 3) could support travel of this species between habitats. Surveys for basking turtles were conducted across five separate field visits to the Site, no Snapping Turtles were observed during these surveys.



5.0 Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures

The following sections address potential impacts to protected features identified on and adjacent to the Site that may result from the proposed seasonal residential development:

- Wetlands, Waterbodies, Watercourses, and Fish Habitat
- Significant Wildlife Habitat
- Habitat of Endangered or Threatened Species

No other natural heritage features protected by provincial or municipal natural heritage policy were confirmed on or adjacent to the Site.

The proposed development consists of large rural lots with restricted development envelopes which could result in direct and indirect impacts to local natural heritage features and functions. Mitigation measures and best management practices have been recommended to ensure that the integrity of the current existing natural features are protected and/or enhanced, and furthermore that their functions are not negatively impacted.

In addition, the proposed development includes amenity areas with no proposed residences or dwellings. The details of these amenity areas were not available at the time of reporting, but potential uses include outdoor museum exhibits, a petting zoo, children's playground, community garden, and a golf practice range. Given the nature of the proposed land uses, the development of these could result in direct and/or indirect impacts to local natural heritage features and functions. As such, it is recommended that site-specific environmental management plans be prepared for these areas at the detailed design stage, as discussed in Section 5.2, below.

For the purpose of facilitating the development approvals process for the Site, an overlay of the concept plan showing the proposed lot layout is provided on Figure 4.

5.1 Wetlands, Waterbodies, Watercourses and Fish Habitat

Numerous wetlands are present on and adjacent to the Site, including the Baptiste – Elephant Lake PSW. These features, particularly those located along the shoreline of Elephant Lake



and Benoir Lake, support various ecological processes and diverse species communities. Both Elephant Lake and Benoir Lake (as well as the associated reach of the York River which connects them), are located south and west of the Site, respectively. These waterbodies contain fish habitat and support diverse aquatic vegetation communities that provide habitat for other wildlife. Several watercourses that convey drainage to these waterbodies were also identified on the Site. The watercourses are unlikely to provide significant direct habitat for fish given the existing topography and intermittent flows; however, they do provide contributing (indirect) habitat through the supply/transport of food and nutrients inputs. In general, no development or site alteration should occur within wetlands, waterbodies, or watercourses on the Site; however, there are instances where development can be accommodated within these features and/or their associated development setbacks (see below), provided the mitigation strategies recommended herein are adhered to.

A 30 m development (i.e., built feature) setback is recommended from all wetlands, waterbodies, and watercourses on the Site, as shown on Figure 3 and Figure 4. A standard 30 m setback is considered sufficient to protect the existing form and function of more sensitive ecological and hydrologic features, provided that the area within the setback be maintained as naturally self-sustaining vegetation (i.e., a buffer area where no vegetation removals or grading is allowed). Minor encroachments into the 30 m setback to provide pedestrian shoreline access (2 m - 3 m wide paths) can be accommodated, assuming vegetation clearing is minimized to the greatest extent possible and a permeable surface is maintained. In addition, any future docking facilities should be located outside of wetland features and be constructed with appropriate agency permits/approvals. In some specific scenarios where built features are proposed adjacent to less sensitive wetlands and/or aquatic features that do not provide direct fish habitat (i.e., intermittent watercourses), a reduced development setback may be accommodated, provided that lot-level controls are applied and strictly adhered to.

Lots 42 and 43 are overlapped by a watercourse and its associated 30 m setback (Figure 4). The construction of a residence on these lots may be permissible provided the building envelope is positioned such that it maximizes the setback from the watercourse, and vegetation clearing is minimized to the greatest extent possible. A Buffer Enhancement Plan



should be required as a condition of issuance of a building permit for these lots to enhance the remaining buffer area.

A wetland (Community 4) was identified that restricts access to proposed Lots 53, 54, and 55 (Figure 4). A private laneway is proposed (approx. 12 m wide) that will allow vehicle access to the building envelopes. The proposed laneway is positioned such that encroachment into the prescribed 30 m wetland setback is minimized. Potential indirect impacts to the adjacent wetland community resulting from road construction should be sufficiently mitigated provided vegetation clearing is minimized to the greatest extent possible and the mitigation measures and best management practices outlined in Section 5.4 are adhered to.

The shared clubhouse lot, proposed between Lot #48 and #49 includes potential docking facilities along the frontage of Elephant Lake. These facilities have been proposed within Reach 2-E, which was identified as a potential preferred water access location as it exhibited fish habitat conditions of marginal quality, with limited overhanging riparian vegetation, sparse patches of emergent aquatic vegetation, and sparser woody rafted material, providing limited opportunity for fish to carry out critical life processes (i.e., spawning) relative to the surrounding area. In addition, this area is excluded from the provincial PSW mapping. Protection provisions in the federal *Fisheries Act* prohibit the harmful alteration, disruption or destruction (HADD) of fish habitat. Any work proposed within or near a watercourse must be assessed to determine the risk of causing HADD of fish habitat. Although the mitigation measures and best practices detailed in Section 5.5 are expected to minimize impacts to fish and fish habitat and align with agency guidance, the proposed works will require a formal review by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO).

As outlined in the Stormwater Management (SWM) Report (King EPCM, 2024), the upgrades associated with improving the access road will include the replacement of multiple culverts in watercourses which convey overland flow down the ridge which extends along the eastern boundary of the Site. These replacements will primarily be like-for-like and will include seven small circular culverts and two larger culverts (associated with the two main mapped watercourses that direct drainage to the mapped PSW). Based on the SWM Report, these



culverts will include 18", 30", and 59" diameter double-walled HDPE pipes (corrugated outside and smooth inside), with recommended lengths of 12 m.

Waterbody flood lines that extend onto the Site are identified on current Site Plans, to ensure conformity with applicable policies (see Appendix B).

5.2 Significant Wildlife Habitat

Several SWH types were identified on and/or adjacent to Site through the subject Study (refer to Section 4.6 and Appendix I). The recommended 30 m wetland, waterbody, and watercourse setbacks will ensure the protection of most SWH types identified. Further protection of SWH can be achieved through the retention of existing forest cover and minimization of construction-related impacts through recommended mitigation measures and best practices (see Section 7.0).

Stratum I and II deer wintering habitat is mapped on the entire Site and extending onto adjacent lands to the north and east. Deer wintering areas are identified and mapped as significant wildlife habitat (SWH) by MNRF and this mapping cannot be altered by a site-level study. No evidence of core deer yarding activity was noted within the Site boundaries. As outlined in the Significant Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Support Tool when complete avoidance of this SWH type is not possible, minimizing the amount of affected habitat and directing the development towards the edge of habitat is a satisfactory mitigation option (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2014).

Passive recreational uses, which are compatible with deer wintering areas, are expected to continue to occur in this area. The development of the Site will also likely increase edge habitat and encourage new growth of trees/shrubs along newly exposed edges. This would effectively increase available browse biomass for deer during the winter months. Given our findings and the scale and location of the proposed future development, no negative impacts to deer wintering SWH are anticipated.

The proposed development includes several amenity areas. Detailed design drawings for these amenity areas were not available at the time of reporting; however, these areas may



require a considerable amount of vegetation clearing and grading. The proposed amenity areas have the potential to result in direct and/or indirect impacts to local natural heritage features and functions, including SWH. As such, it is recommended that site-specific environmental management plans be prepared specifically for these amenity areas, at the detailed design stage, to ensure the proposed land uses take into consideration the potential impacts to the surrounding wildlife and the natural heritage features and functions on the landscape.

5.3 Habitat of Endangered and Threatened Species

While no observations of Blanding's Turtle were made on the Site, this species is known to occur in the general area (Ontario Nature, 2018) and can be difficult to detect due to low population numbers. Potential habitat for this species would include wetlands and associated upland areas with suitable nesting habitat. This species has a General Habitat Description under the ESA, which specifies the following:

- Category 1: Nest and the area within 30 m or Overwintering sites and the area within 30 m.
- Category 2: The wetland complex (i.e. all suitable wetlands or waterbodies within 500 m of each other) that extends up to 2 km from an occurrence, and the area within 30 m around those suitable wetlands or waterbodies.
- Category 3: Area between 30 m and 250 m around suitable wetlands/waterbodies identified in Category 2, within 2 km of an occurrence.

No nesting sites for Blanding's turtles were observed on the Site. Suitable overwintering habitat must have soft mud substrates and enough depth to maintain free water beneath ice; on the Site and adjacent lands, suitable habitat is likely present within the wetland and riverine/waterbody areas. Potential Category 1 and 2 habitats on the Site would be protected by the recommended development setbacks from the wetlands/watercourses. Category 3 habitat, which has the highest tolerance to alteration, includes the upland areas adjacent to Category 1 and 2 habitats. Potential Category 1, 2, and 3 habitats are shown on Figure 5.



The proposed development is generally directed away from Category 1 and 2 habitats. If development is proposed within Category 2 habitat; wildlife exclusion fencing is recommended during construction within the active season. Wildlife exclusion fencing should be installed around the entire perimeter of the construction area prior to May 1 of the year of construction. This fencing should be made of light-duty sediment fence, staked at regular intervals, trenched-in at least 10-20 cm below ground, with an above ground height of at least 60 cm. The silt fence should be inspected regularly; and any downed areas, rips, or holes should be repaired or replaced immediately. The area of construction should also be actively inspected for turtles each day prior to the start of work throughout the duration of construction.

Provided the recommendations herein are adhered to, potential impacts to Blanding's Turtle and their protected habitats, as a result of proposed development activities, can be feasibly mitigated, as required by the Endangered Species Act, 2007.

5.4 Rare Vegetation Communities

A small (<0.05 ha) bog inclusion was observed within the larger Community 7 (SWM5-4). This feature was located in a depression at the base of a slope to the north and was dominated by a small but dense vegetation community dominated by Sphagnum Moss, Three-way Sedge, and Leather Leaf. A strict 30 m development setback should be applied to this specific feature, given its sensitivity.

5.5 Mitigation Measures and Best Management Practices

Given the nature of the current proposed development, the following section is provided to address potential adverse effects that are anticipated during and post-construction.

To minimize potential impact to the natural environment on and surrounding the Site, Cambium recommends that the mitigation measures and best management practices outlined in Table 5 be implemented at the Site.



Table 5 Mitigation Measures and Best Management Practice Recommendations

Potential Impact	Recommended Best Practice
Erosion and Sedimentation	<p>Prior to any construction activities taking place, it is essential that perimeter sediment fencing be installed around construction areas. Fencing should be properly keyed into the ground and securely fastened to vertical supports spaced ≤ 2 m apart. This key control measure will help prevent sediment from entering surface water features (i.e., wetlands and the watercourse) in the surrounding landscape. All sediment fencing should be regularly maintained and kept in good working condition, until the area has been stabilized and/or successfully revegetated. Any observed overland drainage channels originating from Site, that may or may not have arisen as a result of erosion, should be directed to a check dam structure, prior to discharging to off-site areas.</p> <p>Construction activities that require earthworks (e.g., grading, excavation, etc.) should be scheduled to avoid dates of heavy rainfall events and times of high runoff volumes.</p>
Increase in Runoff - Impervious Surfaces	<p>Runoff from the Site is expected to increase with the introduction of impermeable surfaces (i.e., building roofs, roadways, and walkways) and compacted surfaces with reduced infiltration capacity. Measures to increase infiltration of run-off from these surfaces should be encouraged and, where possible, included in the Site Plan for the development. Eavestrough downspouts should be directed to vegetated areas (such as lawn, or gardens) and not onto hardened surfaces, to encourage infiltration.</p> <p>Cambium does note that the wetland in this case is hydrologically driven by water levels in the York River and would not be significantly impacted by surface flows from the Site itself.</p>
Changes to Water Quality and Quantity	<p>The Stormwater Management Plan prepared for the Site should specifically address potential stormwater-related impacts to water quality and quantity of the surrounding wetlands and watercourse, through quality control measures.</p>
Wildlife: Birds (Disturbance and Harm)	<p>Nesting birds and their nests, eggs, and young are protected under the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i>. Vegetation clearing on the Site should occur outside the breeding bird season, which extends from April 1 to August 31 in the local area (as per Environment and Climate Change Canada Guidelines).</p> <p>If vegetation clearing or construction is to occur between April 1 and August 31, the vegetation should be investigated by a qualified biologist to confirm if any active nests are present, prior to site alteration. Vegetation clearing can proceed provided there are no active nests. If active nests are confirmed, the nests should be left</p>



Potential Impact	Recommended Best Practice
	undisturbed until young have fledged or the nest is determined to be inactive. Note that some birds nest on the ground and in low-lying vegetation and shrubs; therefore, all habitat types should be inspected prior to ground disturbance if removals are to occur during the breeding season.
Wildlife: Bats (Disturbance and Harm)	<p>Tree removal should be limited to the building envelope to the extent possible. Small scale tree removal will not result in impairing or eliminating the function of habitat to support bat life processes provided the tree removal avoids the active bat season (April 1 – September 30).</p> <p>If vegetation clearing or construction is to occur between April 1 and September 30, the vegetation should be investigated by a qualified biologist to confirm whether SAR bat habitat may be present. Presence or absence of habitat should be confirmed through acoustic monitoring following industry standard protocols prior to any tree removal during the active season for bats. Vegetation clearing can proceed provided absence is confirmed.</p>
Wildlife: Amphibians & Reptiles (Disturbance and Harm)	<p>Turtles and snakes are particularly vulnerable to construction-related impacts on sites adjacent to wetlands, watercourses, and waterbodies.</p> <p>Sediment fencing can function as wildlife exclusion fencing. To exclude wildlife from the Site, sediment fencing should be installed around the entire perimeter of the construction area prior to the earlier of May 1 or commencement of Site preparation, to discourage turtles and snakes from entering the construction area. This fencing should be made of light-duty sediment fence, staked at regular intervals, trenched-in at least 10-20 cm below ground, with an above ground height of at least 60 cm. The sediment fence should be inspected regularly to ensure that it remains in good condition: and any downed areas, rips, or holes should be repaired or replaced immediately. A designated point of ingress/egress should be identified, and a moveable barrier be constructed, to allow for the Site to fully remain enclosed while allowing vehicular access to the Site as needed.</p> <p>The construction area should also be actively inspected for amphibians, turtles and snakes each day prior to the start of work throughout the duration of construction.</p> <p>As the Site is located adjacent to potential habitat for turtles, workers should be aware of the nesting season for turtles, which extends from May 15 to August 15. All stockpiled materials should be kept inside the exclusion fencing area and ideally should be covered and well secured around the base, to prevent turtles from nesting in loose</p>



Potential Impact	Recommended Best Practice
	<p>substrates. Should any nesting turtles be encountered, work should stop immediately, and the turtle should be left to finish nesting undisturbed. The turtle should be photographed, and the nest marked to ensure it is not disturbed during construction, or until eggs have hatched (late August – September). If a nest is laid in a stockpile or other area that requires disturbance, Cambium should be contacted to determine if the nest can be relocated.</p> <p>If any individuals are encountered, they should be photographed and allowed time to move out of harm's way.</p> <p>Signage should be included on roadways between wetland features to alert vehicular traffic/residents of the potential for wildlife and to note nesting season for turtles, to encourage landowners to be aware of these sensitive species in the area.</p>
Dock Installation	<p>The design and construction of docks should minimize impacts to the bed and banks of the watercourse to the extent feasible. Floating dock structures are preferred and should be considered to limit the footprint/disturbance below the high-water mark. If permanent crib structures are required, placement should consider and avoid areas of sensitive aquatic habitat.</p> <p>The clearing of vegetation to allow access to docks should be limited to the extent possible, using existing trails if available. Where required, access routes should be constructed perpendicular to the watercourse to limit disturbance to the riparian vegetation.</p> <p>A submission to DFO for review will be required to support the proposed docking facilities.</p>
Species at Risk (SAR; Threatened and Endangered)	<p>SAR observations, including most species of snakes and turtles, should be reported to the Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC). Consultation with MECP will be required if any endangered and/or threatened species are found on the property prior to/during construction.</p> <p>If any individuals are encountered, they should be photographed and allowed time to move out of harm's way. SAR should not be handled by unauthorized individuals.</p>
Spread of Invasive Species	<p>Invasive species are becoming problematic throughout Ontario and can adversely impact our natural landscapes, including wetlands, woodlands, and watercourses. Best management practices to reduce the spread of invasive species include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revegetate with species native to the local area.



Potential Impact	Recommended Best Practice
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Request fill and compost from reputable sources that are conscious of the potential for the spread of invasive species via these media. 3. Get to know the most common invasive species in the area. 4. Brush off or clean any shoes, boots and equipment that have encountered invasive species before returning to the property. Equipment and vehicles coming into the work area should be free of soil and seeds that could introduce non-native and invasive species following the Clean Equipment Protocol for Industry: Inspecting and Cleaning Equipment for the Purposes of Invasive Species Prevention (Halloran, 2013) 5. Immediately eradicate invasive species if they are observed on the property. 6. Do not compost invasive species; put them in plastic bags and dispose of them in the garbage. 7. Do not dispose of lawn or garden clippings in the forest or wetlands to avoid species introductions. <p>An excellent resource for identifying and controlling invasive species can be found through the Ontario Invasive Plant Council: Home - Ontario Invasive Plant Council (ontarioinvasiveplants.ca) (OIPC, 2022)</p>
Anthropogenic Impacts – Noise	<p>Outside of amenity areas, noise is not expected to increase significantly because of the proposed development as it is consistent with the land use on the surrounding properties. Maintaining the wooded areas surrounding the natural features on the Site will serve to buffer wildlife within the natural areas from noise-related impacts. Temporary acute noise may occur during construction activities and should follow appropriate local noise by-laws. All equipment should be equipped with appropriate mufflers to mitigate noise levels during construction.</p> <p>Potential noise impacts from the proposed amenity areas require further assessment and should be carefully considered at the detailed design stage. The recommended environmental management plan specific to the proposed amenity areas will serve to mitigate potential noise impacts on surrounding natural features and functions.</p>
Anthropogenic Impacts – Lighting	<p>Artificial lighting can have an impact on nocturnal movement of wildlife within natural areas. To minimize impacts to wildlife, it is recommended that outdoor lights be operated on timers, rather than by motion detection. Outdoor lighting associated with the development should be directed at the ground, rather than into the adjacent natural areas. Bulb wattage should be as low as practical</p>



Potential Impact	Recommended Best Practice
	<p>while meeting the safety intent of the lighting. Lighting in common areas should be capped to direct light to the intended area of the ground to limit light pollution.</p> <p>Potential light impacts from the proposed amenity areas require further assessment and should be carefully considered at the detailed design stage. The recommended environmental management plan specific to the proposed amenity areas will serve to mitigate potential noise impacts on surrounding natural features and functions.</p>
<p>Anthropogenic Impacts – Domestic Animals</p>	<p>Access of domestic animals to natural areas can have a negative impact on local wildlife due to predation, harassment, and spread of illness and disease. Signage should be posted at trailheads and park areas to keep pets on a leash at all times, and to appropriately dispose of pet waste.</p> <p>Potential inter-species conflict and disease impacts from the proposed amenity areas require further assessment and should be carefully considered at the detailed design stage. The recommended environmental management plan specific to the proposed amenity areas will serve to mitigate potential impacts from the introduction of domestic animals, on surrounding natural features and functions.</p>



6.0 Policy Conformity

The proposed development conforms with Sections 4.12 and 9.1 of the Municipality of Dysart et al. Official Plan, and Section 5.3.2 of the County of Haliburton Official Plan. As shown on Figure 3 and Figure 4, a 30 m development setback has been recommended for the Benoir Lake, Elephant Lake, and York River shorelines, wetlands, and watercourses. In some specific scenarios where built features are proposed adjacent to less sensitive features (i.e., intermittent watercourses and transitional swamp communities), a reduced development setback may be accommodated, provided that lot-level controls are applied and strictly adhered to.

Based on the key natural heritage and hydrologic features identified on/adjacent to the Site, and the findings of the field investigations detailed herein, the proposed development of the Site conforms with natural heritage policies of the PPS. Conformity with applicable natural heritage policy is summarized in Table 6.

Table 6 PPS Natural Heritage Conformity Summary

Key Natural Heritage / Hydrologic Feature	On Site	On Adjacent Lands	Meets Associated Policy
Significant Wetland in Ecoregions 5E, 6E and 7E and unevaluated wetlands	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Explanation: The proposed development will not directly impact significant wetlands on the Site. Wetlands on and adjacent to the Site will be afforded a 30 m development setback. Any indirect impacts and proposed encroachments into the setbacks will be mitigated through measures and best practices recommended herein.		
Fish Habitat	No	Yes	Yes
	Explanation: The proposed development will not directly impact Elephant Lake, Benoir Lake, or the York River as no in-water work is planned and all features are provided a 30 m buffer. Any indirect impacts will be mitigated through measures and best practices recommended herein.		



Key Natural Heritage / Hydrologic Feature	On Site	On Adjacent Lands	Meets Associated Policy
Significant Wildlife Habitat (including habitat of special concern species)	Yes	Potentially	Yes
	<p>Explanation:</p> <p>The recommended 30 m wetland, waterbody, and watercourse setbacks will ensure the protection of most SWH types identified. Further protection of SWH can be achieved through the retention of existing forest cover and minimization of construction-related impacts through recommended mitigation measures and best practices (see Section 7.0). No negative impacts to Deer Wintering Habitat are anticipated, as discussed in Section 5.2.</p>		
Habitat of Threatened and Endangered Species	Potentially	Potentially	Yes
	<p>Explanation:</p> <p>Potential habitat for Blanding's Turtle exists on the Site and adjacent lands, with future proposed development to occur within Category 3 habitat. Provided the recommendations herein are adhered to, potential impacts to Blanding's Turtle habitat, as a result of future development activities, can be feasibly mitigated, as required by the Endangered Species Act, 2007.</p>		



7.0 Summary of Recommended Mitigation Measures and Best Practices

The following recommendations are provided with respect to the proposed development:

1. Site Plans should show the location of the wetland, watercourse, and shorelines, along with their associated setbacks as shown on
2. The 30 m wetland, watercourse and shoreline setback should be maintained as the existing forest cover and be allowed to naturally self-sustain (i.e., a buffer area where no vegetation removals or grading is allowed).
3. Where the prescribed non-significant wetland, waterbody, and watercourse setbacks limit lot access and/or future construction, encroachments can be accommodated provided that the recommendations in Sections 5.1 and 5.5 are adhered to. These recommendations include minimizing vegetation removals, maintaining permeable trail surfaces, implementing low-impact design elements, and restoration/enhancement of remaining buffer areas. A comprehensive buffer enhancement plan should be developed for the Draft Plan area.
4. A submission to DFO for review will be required to support the proposed docking facilities, as per requirements under the Fisheries Act.
5. A comprehensive, site-specific environmental management plan should be prepared for the amenity areas at the detailed design stage, to address potential impacts to natural heritage features and functions. Potential impacts to and incompatibilities with the surrounding natural environment should be carefully considered in the advancement of design plans for these unique areas.
6. An Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Plan that includes perimeter light duty sediment fencing should be implemented along the wetland/watercourse side of the construction area prior to the commencement of any Site alteration.
 - a. Fencing should be properly keyed into the ground and securely fastened to vertical supports spaced ≤ 2 m apart.



- b. All sediment fencing should be regularly maintained and kept in good working condition, until the area has been stabilized and/or successfully revegetated.
 - c. All ESC fencing should be removed following construction once exposed soils have been revegetated.
7. Measures to increase infiltration of run-off from impervious surfaces should be encouraged and, where possible, included in the Site Plan for the development.
8. Outdoor lights should be operated on timers, rather than by motion detection, should be directed at the ground, rather than into the adjacent natural areas, and should have wattage as low as practical while meeting the safety intent of the lighting.
9. Best management practices to reduce the spread of invasive species should be considered for the Site.
10. Signage should be included on roadways between wetland features to alert vehicular traffic/residents of the potential for wildlife and to note nesting season for turtles, to encourage landowners to be aware of these sensitive species in the area.
11. Wildlife exclusion fencing should be installed around the entire perimeter of the construction area prior to May 1 of the year of construction. This fencing should be made of light-duty sediment fence, staked at regular intervals, trenched-in at least 10-20 cm below ground, with an above ground height of at least 60 cm. The silt fence should be inspected regularly: and any downed areas, rips, or holes should be repaired or replaced immediately. The area of construction should also be actively inspected for turtles each day prior to the start of work throughout the duration of construction.
12. Workers should be aware of the nesting season for turtles, which extends from May 15 to August 15. All stockpiled materials should be kept inside the exclusion fencing and should be covered and secured around the base to prevent turtles from nesting. Should any nesting turtles be encountered, work should stop immediately and the turtle should be left to finish nesting undisturbed. The turtle should be photographed and the nest marked to ensure it is not disturbed during construction, or until eggs have hatched (late August –



September). If a nest is laid in a stockpile or other area that requires disturbance, Cambium should be contacted to determine if the nest can be relocated.

13. If any individual turtles or snakes are encountered, they should be photographed and allowed time to move out of harm's way.
14. Vegetation removal or alteration should take place outside the breeding bird season (April 1 to August 31) and the active roosting period for bats (April 1 to September 30). Should any clearing be required during the breeding bird season, nest searches conducted by a qualified person must be completed within 48 hours prior to clearing activities. If nests are found, work within the area must cease until the nest has fledged, as per the federal *Migratory Birds Convention Act*. Should any clearing be required during the active roosting period for bats, please contact the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks for further direction (e.g. acoustic monitoring, exit surveys) to ensure conformity with the *Endangered Species Act*.
15. Species at Risk observations, including most species of snakes and turtles, should be reported to the Natural Heritage Information Centre. Consultation with MECP will be required if any endangered and/or threatened species are found on the property prior to/during construction.



8.0 Closing

In closing, potential negative impacts associated with the proposed development can be appropriately minimized, provided that the recommendations outlined in Section 7.0 are adhered to. The information presented herein demonstrates that the proposed development can be carried out in a way that will not adversely impact natural heritage and hydrologic features and functions identified on or adjacent to the subject Site. Furthermore, the proposed development conforms with applicable provincial and municipal natural heritage policy.

Respectfully submitted,

Cambium Inc.

DocuSigned by:

CC0BEBA3F3974CF...

Jeremy Prah, B.Sc., Can-CISEC
Group Manager / Senior Ecologist

DocuSigned by:

DD6F5C07A33A475...

Danielle Leal, B.Sc.
Coordinator - Ecologist

\\cambiumincstorage.file.core.windows.net\projects\11900 to 11999\11996-001 King EPCM - EIS - Elephant Lake, Dysart, ON\Deliverables\REPORT - EIS Southern Phase\Update - May 2025\2025-05-14 RPT EIS Elephant Lake - Southern Phase - Updated.docx



9.0 References

- Bird Studies Canada. (2005). *Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario*.
- Bird Studies Canada. (2008). *Marsh Monitoring Program Participant's Handbook for Surveying Amphibians*.
- Cadman, M. D., Dewar, H. J., & Welsh, D. A. (1998). *The Ontario Forest Bird Monitoring Program (1987-1997): Goals, methods, and species trends observed. Technical Report Series No. 325*. Canadian Wildlife Service.
- County of Haliburton. (2017). *County of Haliburton Official Plan*.
- Crins, W. J., Gray, P. A., Uhlig, P. W., & Wester, M. C. (2009). *The Ecoregions of Ontario, Part I: Ecozones and Ecoregions*. Peterborough, Ontario: Ministry of Natural Resources: Inventory, Monitoring and Assessment. Retrieved from <https://dr6j45jk9xcmk.cloudfront.net/documents/2712/stdprod-101587.pdf>
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada. (2018). *Aquatic Species at Risk Map*. Retrieved from Fisheries and Oceans Canada: <http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/species-especes/sara-lep/map-carte/index-eng.html>
- Government of Ontario. (2007, August). *O.Reg 230/08: Species at Risk in Ontario List under Endangered Species Act, 2007, S.O. 2007, c.6*. Retrieved from e-Laws: <https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/080230>
- Government of Ontario. (2015). *Aquatic Resource Area Summary*. Retrieved from Land Information Ontario: <https://www.ontario.ca/data/aquatic-resource-area-survey-point>
- Halloran, J. (2013). *Clean Equipment Protocol for Industry: Inspecting and Cleaning Equipment for the Purposes of Invasive Species Prevention*. Peterborough: Ontario Invasive Plant Council.
- King EPCM. (2024). *STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AT ELEPHANT LAKE COTTAGES HARCOURT, DYSART ET AL, ONTARIO*.
- Lee, H., Bakowsky, W., Riley, J., Bowles, J., Puddister, M., uhlig, P., & McMurray, S. (1998). *Ecological Land Classification for Southern Ontario: First Approximation and Its*



Application. Southcentral Science Section, Science Development and Transfer Branch.
SCSS Field Guid FG-02: Ministry of Natural Resources.

Lewis, & Irwin. (1994). *Baptiste-Elephant Lake PSW*.

Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing. (2020). Provincial Policy Statement. Ontario.

Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing. (2024). *Provincial Planning Statement*.

Ministry of Natural Resources. (2014). *Ontario Wetland Evaluation System Southern Manual, 3rd Ed.* Ontario.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. (2013). *Survey Protocol for Eastern Whip-poor-will (Caprimulgus vociferus) in Ontario*. Peterborough: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Species at Risk Branch.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. (2015). *Significant Wildlife Habitat Criteria Schedules For Ecoregion 5E*.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. (2015). *Significant Wildlife Habitat Criteria Schedules for Ecoregion 6E*. Peterborough, Ontario.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. (2015). *Survey Protocol for Blanding's Turtle (Emydiodea blandingii) in Ontario*. Peterborough, Ontario: Species Conservation Policy Branch.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. (2018). Retrieved from Make a Map: Natural Heritage Areas:
http://www.gisapplication.lrc.gov.on.ca/mamnh/Index.html?site=MNR_NHLUPS_NaturalHeritage&viewer=NaturalHeritage&locale=en-US

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. (2018). *Fish ON-Line*. Retrieved from
<https://www.gisapplication.lrc.gov.on.ca/FishONLine/Index.html?locale=en-US&site=FishONLine&viewer=FishONLine>

Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. (2019). *Client's Guide to Preliminary Screening for Species at Risk*.

MNR. (2000). *Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide*. 151p. Ministry of Natural Resources.



- OIPC. (2022, August 2). *Ontario Invasive Plant Council*. Retrieved from Best Management Practices: <https://www.ontarioinvasiveplants.ca/resources/best-management-practices/>
- Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas. (2001). *Guide for Participants*. Don Mills: Atlas Management Board, Federation of Ontario Naturalists.
- Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. (2011). *Bat and Bat Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects*. Ontario, Canada: Queen's Printer for Ontario.
- Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. (2014). *Significant Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Support Tool*.
- Ontario Nature. (2018). *Ontario Reptile and Amphibian Atlas*. Retrieved from Ontario Nature: <https://ontarionature.org/oraa/maps/>



10.0 Glossary of Terms

ANSI: Area of Natural and Scientific Interest	GIS: Geographic Information System
ARA: Aquatic Resources Area	GLSL: Great Lakes – St. Lawrence
ARA: Aggregate Resources Act	GPGGH: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe
AS: Agricultural System	GPS: Global Positioning System
ATK: Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge	HSA: Habitat Suitability Analysis
BMA: Bear Management Area	HIS: Habitat Suitability Index
BMP: Best Management Practice	KHA: Key Hydrologic Areas
CA: Conservation Authority	KHF: Key Hydrologic Features
CEAA: Canadian Environmental Assessment Act/Agency	KNHF: Key Natural Heritage Features
CFA: Canadian Forestry Association	LCFSP: Licence to Collect Fish for Scientific Purposes
CFIP: Community Fisheries Involvement Program	LIO: Land Information Ontario
CFS: Canadian Forestry Service	LRIA: Lake and Rivers Improvement Act
CHU: Critical Habitat Unit	LUP: Land Use Permit or Plan
CH: Cultural Heritage	MA: Management Area
CLI: Canada Land Inventory	MAFA: Moose Aquatic Feeding Area
CLU: Crown Land Use	MCEA: Municipal Class Environmental Assessment
COSSARO: Committee on the Status of Species at Risk in Ontario	MECP: Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks
CR: Conservation Reserve	MNDMRF: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry
CWIP: Community Wildlife Involvement Program	NER: Natural Environment Report
CWS: Canadian Wildlife Service	NHIC: Natural Heritage Information Centre
DFO: Fisheries and Oceans Canada	NHIS: Natural Heritage Information System
EA: Environmental Assessment	NHS: Natural Heritage System
EAA: Environmental Assessment Act	OBM: Ontario Base Map
EAB: Emerald Ash Borer	OFIS: Ontario Fisheries Information System
EBR: Environmental Bill of Rights	OLI: Ontario Land Inventory
EIA: Environmental Impact Assessment	OMAFRA: Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs
EIS: Environmental Impact Study/Statement	OWES: Ontario Wetland Evaluation System
ELC: Ecological Land Classification System	PPS: Provincial Planning Statement (2024)
ELUP: Ecological Land Use Plan	PSW: Provincially Significant Wetland
END: Endangered species	RLUP: Regional Land Use Plan
EPA: Environmental Protection Act	RMP: Regional Management Plan
ER: Environmental Registry	R.P.F.: Registered Professional Forester
ESA: Endangered Species Act (2007)	SAR: Species at Risk
ESA: Environmentally Sensitive Area	SARO: Species at Risk in Ontario
ESC: Erosion and Sediment Control	SC: Special Concern species





F&W: Fish and Wildlife
FA: Fisheries Act (Federal)
FEC: Forest Ecosystem Classification
FMP: Forest Management Plan
FRI: Forest Resources Inventory
FWCA: Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act
GGH: Greater Golden Horseshoe
GHP: General Habitat Protection

SWH: Significant Wildlife Habitat
SWM: Stormwater Management
THR: Threatened species
TOR: Terms of Reference
TPP: Tree Preservation Plan
WIA: Woodlands Improvement Act
WMU: Wildlife Management Unit



Appended Figures





- VEGETATION COMMUNITIES**
- 1: FOD5-3;** Dry - Fresh Sugar Maple - Oak Deciduous Forest
 - 2: FOC1-2;** Dry - Fresh White Pine - Red Pine Coniferous Forest
 - 3: FOM6-2;** Moist - Fresh Hemlock - Sugar Maple Mixed Forest
 - 4: MAS2-1;** Cattail Mineral Shallow Marsh
 - 7: SWM5-4;** Red Maple - Balsam Fir Mixed Organic Swamp
 - 8: MAS3-1;** Cattail Organic Shallow Marsh
 - 9: BOO1;** Open Graminoid Bog
 - 10: FES1-1;** Sweet Gale Shrub Fen

**ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT STUDY**
2463756 ONTARIO INC.
Elephant Lake
Dysart, Ontario

LEGEND

- Culvert
- Bat Maternity Roost Survey Plot Points
- Amphibian Breeding Survey Station (MMP)
- Breeding Bird Survey Station (BBS)
- Eastern Whip-poor-will Survey Station (EWPW)
- Stick Nests and Wildlife Trees
- Shoreline Assessment Reach
- Field Verified Watercourse
- Existing Trails
- Property Boundary
- Unevaluated Wetland
- Provincially Significant Wetland
- Vegetation Community
- Field Verified Wetland
- Adjacent Lands 150m
- Southern Phase


Notes:
- This document contains information licensed under the Open Government License - Ontario.
- Distances on this plan are in metres and can be converted to feet by dividing by 0.3048.
- Cambium Inc. makes every effort to ensure this map is free from errors but cannot be held responsible for any damages due to error or omissions. This map should not be used for navigation or legal purposes. It is intended for general reference use only.



194 Sophia Street
Peterborough, Ontario, K9H 1E5
Tel: (705) 742.7900 Fax: (705) 742.7907
www.cambium-inc.com

**NATURAL HERITAGE FEATURES
AND SURVEY LOCATIONS
SOUTHERN PHASE**

Project No.: 11996-001		Date: July 2024 Rev.:	
Scale: 1:14,250		Projection: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N	
Created by: DBB	Checked by: JP	Figure: 2	



**ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT STUDY**
2463756 ONTARIO INC.
Elephant Lake
Dysart, Ontario

LEGEND

- Field Verified Watercourse
- Existing Trails
- Property Boundary
- 30m Shoreline Setback
- Unevaluated Wetland
- Provincially Significant Wetland
- Field Verified Wetland
- 30m Wetland Buffer
- 30m Watercourse Buffer
- Southern Phase
- Adjacent Lands 150m


Notes:
- This document contains information licensed under the Open Government License - Ontario.
- Distances on this plan are in metres and can be converted to feet by dividing by 0.3048.
- Cambium Inc. makes every effort to ensure this map is free from errors but cannot be held responsible for any damages due to error or omissions. This map should not be used for navigation or legal purposes. It is intended for general reference use only.



194 Sophia Street
Peterborough, Ontario, K9H 1E5
Tel: (705) 742.7900 Fax: (705) 742.7907
www.cambium-inc.com

**NATURAL HERITAGE
CONSTRAINTS
SOUTHERN PHASE**

Project No.:	11996-001	Date:	July 2024
Scale:	1:14,250	Projection:	NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N
Created by:	DBB	Checked by:	JP
		Figure:	3



**ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT STUDY**
2463756 ONTARIO INC.
Elephant Lake
Dysart, Ontario

LEGEND

- Field Verified Watercourse
- Property Boundary
- 30m Shoreline Setback
- Proposed Building and Roads
- Proposed Lots
- Proposed Septic
- Unevaluated Wetland
- Provincially Significant Wetland
- Field Verified Wetland
- 30m Wetland Buffer
- 30m Watercourse Buffer
- Southern Phase
- Adjacent Lands 150m


Notes:
- This document contains information licensed under the Open Government License - Ontario.
- Distances on this plan are in metres and can be converted to feet by dividing by 0.3048.
- Cambium Inc. makes every effort to ensure this map is free from errors but cannot be held responsible for any damages due to error or omissions. This map should not be used for navigation or legal purposes. It is intended for general reference use only.



194 Sophia Street
Peterborough, Ontario, K9H 1E5
Tel: (705) 742.7900 Fax: (705) 742.7907
www.cambium-inc.com

**NATURAL HERITAGE
CONSTRAINTS
SOUTHERN PHASE**

Project No.: 11996-001	Date: May 2025
Scale: 1:14,250	Projection: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N
Created by: DBB	Checked by: JP
Figure: 4	



**ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT STUDY**
2463756 ONTARIO INC.
Elephant Lake
Dysart, Ontario

LEGEND

- Field Verified Watercourse
- Existing Trails
- Property Boundary
- Unevaluated Wetland
- Provincially Significant Wetland
- Field Verified Wetland
- Potential Category 1/2 Habitat
- Potential Category 3 Habitat
- Southern Phase
- Adjacent Lands 150m

Notes:
- This document contains information licensed under the Open Government License - Ontario.
- Distances on this plan are in metres and can be converted to feet by dividing by 0.3048.
- Cambium Inc. makes every effort to ensure this map is free from errors but cannot be held responsible for any damages due to error or omissions. This map should not be used for navigation or legal purposes. It is intended for general reference use only.



194 Sophia Street
Peterborough, Ontario, K9H 1E5
Tel: (705) 742.7900 Fax: (705) 742.7907
www.cambium-inc.com

**BLANDING'S TURTLE
GENERAL HABITAT**

Project No.: 11996-001	Date: July 2024
Scale: 1:14,500	Rev.: Projection: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N
Created by: DBB	Checked by: JP
Figure: 5	



Appendix A
Conceptual Site Plans

GENERAL NOTES:

- Topographic LiDAR survey via aerial drone was sub-contracted to Drone Services Canada Inc. and supervised by King EPCM
- Horizontal Projection - NAD83(CSRS) UTM Zone 17N
- Vertical Projection - CGVD28 Height
- Field work completed during June 18 - 20th, 2024, with King EPCM field visit and data verification on June 19th, 2024

PROPOSED ZONING

WATERFRONT RESIDENTIAL 4

FRONT YARD SETBACK = 7.5M MIN.

REAR YARD SETBACK = 7.5M MIN.



SIDEYARD SETBACK, DWELLING = 4.5 MIN

SIDEYARD SETBACK, ACCESSORY = 1.0 MIN



LEGEND

- LOT PROPERTY LINE
- 30M OFFSET SHORELINE
- WETLAND BUFFER
- 1:100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN BOUNDARY
- PROVINCIALY SIGNIFICANT WETLAND BOUNDARY LINE
- WATERCOURSE BUFFER




COPYRIGHT

GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers

ARCHITECTURAL	STRUCTURAL
MECHANICAL	ELECTRICAL

ISSUES

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23



OWNER

NF 95 Developments

PROJECT

**ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON**


PROJECT NO:
DRAWN BY: X.G. CHECKED BY: A.R.
PROJECT MGR: X.G. APPROVED BY: D.S.


SHEET TITLE

**SOUTHERN PHASE
MASTER SITE PLAN**

SCALE: 1:10000

SHEET NUMBER **A2-01**





COPYRIGHT


GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers

ARCHITECTURAL **STRUCTURAL**
MECHANICAL **ELECTRICAL**


ISSUES

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23

KEY MAP
N.T.S.



OWNER



PROJECT

**ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON**

PROJECT NO:
DRAWN BY: X.G.
PROJECT MGR: X.G.

CHECKED BY: A.R.
APPROVED BY: D.S.

SHEET TITLE


**SOUTHERN PHASE
DETAILED PLAN - PAGE 1**


SCALE:

1:2000

SHEET NUMBER

A2-02






COPYRIGHT

GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers


ARCHITECTURAL **STRUCTURAL**
MECHANICAL **ELECTRICAL**

ISSUES

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23



OWNER



PROJECT

**ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON**

PROJECT NO:

DRAWN BY: X.G. CHECKED BY: A.R.
PROJECT MGR: X.G. APPROVED BY: D.S.

SHEET TITLE


**SOUTHERN PHASE
DETAILED PLAN - PAGE 2**


SCALE:

1:1000

SHEET NUMBER

A2-03





COPYRIGHT


GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers

ARCHITECTURAL **STRUCTURAL**
MECHANICAL **ELECTRICAL**

ISSUES

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23

KEY MAP
N.T.S.



OWNER

NF 95 Developments

PROJECT

**ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON**

PROJECT NO:
DRAWN BY: X.G.
PROJECT MGR: X.G.

CHECKED BY: A.R.
APPROVED BY: D.S.

SHEET TITLE

**SOUTHERN PHASE
DETAILED PLAN - PAGE 3**

SCALE:

1:1000

SHEET NUMBER

A2-04



COPYRIGHT

GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers


ARCHITECTURAL STRUCTURAL
MECHANICAL ELECTRICAL

ISSUES

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23

KEY MAP

N.T.S.



OWNER



PROJECT

ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON

PROJECT NO:

DRAWN BY: X.G.

CHECKED BY: A.F

PROJECT MGR: X.G

APPROVED BY: D.S

SHEET TITLE


SOUTHERN PHASE
DETAILED PLAN - PAGE 5


SCALE:

1:1000

SHEET NUMBER

A2-06





COPYRIGHT


GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers

ARCHITECTURAL **STRUCTURAL**
MECHANICAL **ELECTRICAL**

ISSUES

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23

KEY MAP
N.T.S.



OWNER

NF 95 Developments

PROJECT

**ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON**

PROJECT NO:
DRAWN BY: X.G.
PROJECT MGR: X.G.

CHECKED BY: A.R.
APPROVED BY: D.S.

SHEET TITLE

**SOUTHERN PHASE
DETAILED PLAN - PAGE 6**

SCALE:

1:1000

SHEET NUMBER

A2-07



Appendix B
Correspondence

Jeremy Prah

From: Danielle Langlois
Sent: March 16, 2021 1:51 PM
To: paula.norlock@ontario.ca
Cc: Jeremy Prah; Cambium File
Subject: Information Request - Elephant Lake, Dysart et al. (11996-001)
Attachments: 2020-12-03 MAP MNRF Base.pdf

Hello Paula,

Cambium has been retained to complete an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) for a large waterfront property on Elephant Lake, in the Municipality of Dysart et al, Ontario (the Site; see attached). The Site contains or is adjacent to (within 120 m of) the following natural heritage and/or hydrologic features: Elephant Lake (fish habitat), a Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW, Elephant Lake Wetland), unevaluated wetlands, and an unnamed watercourse. NHIC records for the subject lands include the following:

NHIC Data

To work further with this data select the content and copy it into your own word or excel

OGF ID	Element Type	Common Name	Scientific Name	SRank SARO Status	COSEWIC
1062882	NATURAL AREA	ALGONQUIN PROVINCIAL PARK			
1062882	SPECIES	Midland Painted Turtle	Chrysemys picta marginata		SC
1062882	SPECIES	Snapping Turtle	Chelydra serpentina	SC	SC
1062879	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062878	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062888	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062888	SPECIES	Midland Painted Turtle	Chrysemys picta marginata		SC
1062898	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062889	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062899	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062900	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062901	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062901	SPECIES	Eastern Wolf	Canis lupus lycaon	THR	THR
1062892	NATURAL AREA	ALGONQUIN PROVINCIAL PARK			
1062892	SPECIES	Blanding's Turtle	Emydoidea blandingii	THR	END
1062902	SPECIES	(Potamogeton hillii X Potamogeton zosteriformis) Potamogeton x ogdenii		END	END
1062908	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062909	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062909	SPECIES	(Potamogeton hillii X Potamogeton zosteriformis) Potamogeton x ogdenii		END	END
1062910	SPECIES	(Potamogeton hillii X Potamogeton zosteriformis) Potamogeton x ogdenii		END	END
1062911	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062911	SPECIES	(Potamogeton hillii X Potamogeton zosteriformis) Potamogeton x ogdenii		END	END
1062912	NATURAL AREA	Baptiste - Elephant Lake Wetland			
1062912	SPECIES	(Potamogeton hillii X Potamogeton zosteriformis) Potamogeton x ogdenii		END	END

We are requesting any additional information your office may have regarding SAR or significant natural heritage features in the local and surrounding area. In particular, any information on Elephant Lake or the Elephant Lake PSW would be helpful.

If you require further information to process this request, feel free to contact me.

Kind Regards,

Danielle



Danielle Langlois, B.Sc., EPT

Junior Biologist / Technician

Cambium Inc. - Barrie

Environmental | Building Sciences | Geotechnical | Construction Monitoring

p: 705.719.0700 | **c:** 249.359.6112 | **toll:** 866.217.7900 | **w:** cambium-inc.com

Under modified work conditions in response to the current pandemic and government directives, Cambium continues to provide the professional services you have come to expect to guide good decisions. The well-being and safety of our teams, clients, and communities are a top priority. We ask for your patience and look forward to working together as we evolve into the "new normal". Stay safe. Better days are ahead.


This email and attachments is intended solely for the use of the recipient and may contain personal information that is regulated in accordance with the Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act, S.C. 2000 C5. If you are not the intended recipient or do not agree to comply with the Act, please notify the sender by return email and delete the original message and attachments without making a copy.



Check out our [video](#) - an inside look at Cambium's culture & career opportunities.



Appendix C
Topographic Survey



DRONE SERVICES
Canada Inc.

Drone Services Canada Inc.
2-558 Upper Gage Avenue
Hamilton, ON L8V 4J8
info@droneservicescanadainc.com
+1 (905) 481-0112

Field Work Completed: June 1, June 2, 2021

Elevations are geodetic based on NAD83 (Canada)
and are derived from GPS real-time network
observations using the 'can-net' VRS network in
UTM Zone 17N.




DRONE SERVICES
Canada Inc.

Drone Services Canada Inc.
2-558 Upper Gage Avenue
Hamilton, ON L8V 4J6
info@droneservicescanadainc.com
+1 (905) 481 0112

Field Work Completed: June 1, June 2, 2021

Elevations are geodetic based on NAD83 (Canada)
and are derived from GPS real time network
observations using the 'can-net' VRS network in
UTM Zone 17N.





DRONE SERVICES
Canada Inc.

Drone Services Canada Inc.
2-558 Upper Gage Avenue
Hamilton, ON L8V 4J6
info@droneservicescanadainc.com
+1 (905) 481 0112

Field Work Completed: June 1, June 2, 2021

Elevations are geodetic based on NAD83 (Canada)
and are derived from GPS real time network
observations using the 'can-net' VRS network in
UTM Zone 17N.



Appendix D
Vegetation Species List



VEGETATION

COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: FOD5-3COMMUNITY #: 1LOCATION: Elephant lakeCOORDINATES: -78.1446024,
45.1767451PROJECT NUMBER: 11996-001DATE: Multiple Dates
(2021)PROJECT
MANAGER: J. PrahFIELD STAFF: Keegan McKitterick

FIELD SHEET – Vegetation Species List

Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	CoW	CoC	SARA	SARO	S-Rank
Alternate-leaved Dogwood	Cornus alternifolia	Cornaceae	3	6			S5
American Beech	Fagus grandifolia	Fagaceae	3	6			S4
Annual Fleabane	Erigeron annuus	Asteraceae	3	0			S5
Basswood	Tilia americana	Tiliaceae	3	4			S5
Black Cherry	Prunus serotina var. serotina	Rosaceae	3	3			S5
Black Raspberry	Rubus occidentalis	Rosaceae	5	2			S5
Blue Cohosh	Caulophyllum thalictroides	Berberidaceae	5	5			S5
Blue-stemmed Goldenrod	Solidago caesia var. caesia	Asteraceae	3	5			S5
Bunchberry	Cornus canadensis	Cornaceae	0	7			S5
Canada Enchanter's Nightshade	Circaea canadensis ssp. canadensis	Onagraceae	3	2			S5
Canada Yew	Taxus canadensis	Taxaceae	3	7			S4
Chokecherry	Prunus virginiana var. virginiana	Rosaceae	3	2			S5
Common Oak Fern	Gymnocarpium dryopteris	Dryopteridaceae	3	7			S5
Common Plantain	Plantago major	Plantaginaceae	3				SNA
Dutchman's Breeches	Dicentra cucullaria	Fumariaceae	5	6			S5
Dwarf Scouring-rush	Equisetum scirpoides	Equisetaceae	0	7			S5
Eastern Bracken Fern	Pteridium aquilinum var. latiusculum	Dennstaedtiaceae	3	2			S5
Eastern Hemlock	Tsuga canadensis	Pinaceae	3	7			S5
Eastern Hop-hornbeam	Ostrya virginiana	Betulaceae	3	4			S5
Eastern Prickly Gooseberry	Ribes cynosbati	Grossulariaceae	3	4			S5
Eastern Rose Twisted-stalk	Streptopus lanceolatus var. lanceolatus	Liliaceae	3	7			S5?
Eastern White Pine	Pinus strobus	Pinaceae	3	4			S5
Evergreen Wood Fern	Dryopteris intermedia	Dryopteridaceae	0	5			S5
Hairy Solomon's Seal	Polygonatum pubescens	Liliaceae	5	5			S5

Heart-leaved Aster	Symphotrichum cordifolium	Asteraceae	5	5		S5
Heart-leaved Foamflower	Tiarella cordifolia	Saxifragaceae	3	6		S5
Hobblebush	Viburnum lantanoides	Caprifoliaceae	0	8		S5
Large False Solomon's Seal	Maianthemum racemosum	Liliaceae	3	4		S5
Large-toothed Aspen	Populus grandidentata	Salicaceae	5	5		S5
Marginal Wood Fern	Dryopteris marginalis	Dryopteridaceae	3	5		S5
May-apple	Podophyllum peltatum	Berberidaceae	3	5		S5
Mountain Maple	Acer spicatum	Aceraceae	3	6		S5
Northern Maidenhair Fern	Adiantum pedatum	Pteridaceae	3	7		S5
Northern Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Fagaceae	3	6		S5
Ostrich Fern	Matteuccia struthiopteris	Dryopteridaceae	0	5		S5
Paper Birch	Betula papyrifera	Betulaceae	3	2		S5
Poison Ivy	Toxicodendron radicans	Anacardiaceae	0	2		S5
Red Baneberry	Actaea rubra ssp. rubra	Ranunculaceae	3	6		S5
Red Clover	Trifolium pratense	Fabaceae	3			SNA
Red Maple	Acer rubrum	Aceraceae	0	4		S5
Red Raspberry	Rubus idaeus	Rosaceae	3	2		S5
Sharp-lobed Hepatica	Hepatica acutiloba	Ranunculaceae	5	8		S5
Smooth Brome	Bromus inermis	Poaceae	5			SNA
Staghorn Sumac	Rhus typhina	Anacardiaceae	3	1		S5
Striped Maple	Acer pensylvanicum	Aceraceae	3	7		S4
Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum	Aceraceae	3	4		S5
Tall Goldenrod	Solidago altissima	Asteraceae	3	1		S5
White Baneberry	Actaea pachypoda	Ranunculaceae	5	6		S5
White Sweet-clover	Melilotus albus	Fabaceae	3			SNA
Wild Lily-of-the-valley	Maianthemum canadense ssp. canadense	Liliaceae	3	5		S5
Wild Sarsaparilla	Aralia nudicaulis	Araliaceae	3	4		S5
Yellow Birch	Betula alleghaniensis	Betulaceae	0	6		S5
Yellow Clintonia	Clintonia borealis	Liliaceae	0	7		S5
Yellow Trout-lily	Erythronium americanum ssp. americanum	Liliaceae	5	5		S5
Zigzag Goldenrod	Solidago flexicaulis	Asteraceae	3	6		S5



VEGETATION
COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: FOC1-2

COMMUNITY #: 2

LOCATION: Elephant Lake

COORDINATES: -78.1404501,
45.1731189

PROJECT NUMBER: 11996-001

DATE: Multiple Dates
(2021)

PROJECT
MANAGER: J. Prah

FIELD STAFF: Keegan McKitterick

FIELD SHEET – Vegetation Species List

Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	CoW	CoC	SARA	SARO	S-Rank
Balsam Fir	<i>Abies balsamea</i>	Pinaceae	-3	5			S5
Downy Yellow Violet	<i>Viola pubescens</i> var. <i>pubescens</i>	Violaceae	3	5			S5
Dwarf Scouring-rush	<i>Equisetum scirpoides</i>	Equisetaceae	0	7			S5
Eastern Hemlock	<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Pinaceae	3	7			S5
Eastern White Cedar	<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	Cupressaceae	-3	4			S5
Eastern White Pine	<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Pinaceae	3	4			S5
Fringed Milkwort	<i>Polygaloides paucifolia</i>	Polygalaceae	3	6			S5
Marginal Wood Fern	<i>Dryopteris marginalis</i>	Dryopteridaceae	3	5			S5
Rattlesnake Fern	<i>Botrypus virginianus</i>	Ophioglossaceae	3	5			S5
Red Pine	<i>Pinus resinosa</i>	Pinaceae	3	8			S5
Red Trillium	<i>Trillium erectum</i>	Liliaceae	3	6			S5
Stiped Maple	<i>Acer pensylvanicum</i>	Aceraceae	3	7			S4
Wild Lily-of-the-valley	<i>Maianthemum canadense</i> ssp. <i>canadense</i>	Liliaceae	3	5			S5
Yellow Birch	<i>Betula alleghaniensis</i>	Betulaceae	0	6			S5
Yellow Clintonia	<i>Clintonia borealis</i>	Liliaceae	0	7			S5



VEGETATION
COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: FOM6-2COMMUNITY #: 3LOCATION: Elephant LakeCOORDINATES: -78.1356076,
45.1677838PROJECT NUMBER: 11996-001DATE: Multiple Dates
(2021)PROJECT
MANAGER: J. PrahFIELD STAFF: Keegan McKitterick

FIELD SHEET – Vegetation Species List

Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	CoW	CoC	SARA	SARO	S-Rank
Alternate-leaved Dogwood	<i>Cornus alternifolia</i>	Cornaceae	3	6			S5
American Beech	<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	Fagaceae	3	6			S4
Black Cherry	<i>Prunus serotina</i> var. <i>serotina</i>	Rosaceae	3	3			S5
Canada Yew	<i>Taxus canadensis</i>	Taxaceae	3	7			S4
Common Oak Fern	<i>Gymnocarpium dryopteris</i>	Dryopteridaceae	3	7			S5
Eastern Hemlock	<i>Tsuga canadensis</i>	Pinaceae	3	7			S5
Evergreen Wood Fern	<i>Dryopteris intermedia</i>	Dryopteridaceae	0	5			S5
Fringed Milkwort	<i>Polygaloides paucifolia</i>	Polygalaceae	3	6			S5
Hobblebush	<i>Viburnum lantanoides</i>	Caprifoliaceae	0	8			S5
Interrupted Fern	<i>Claytonia virginica</i>	Osmundaceae	0	7			S5
Northern Bush-honeysuckle	<i>Lonicera canadensis</i>	Caprifoliaceae	5	5			S5
Marginal Wood Fern	<i>Dryopteris marginalis</i>	Dryopteridaceae	3	5			S5
Ostrich Fern	<i>Matteuccia struthiopteris</i>	Dryopteridaceae	0	5			S5
Red Maple	<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Aceraceae	0	4			S5
Red Trillium	<i>Trillium erectum</i>	Liliaceae	3	6			S5
Striped Maple	<i>Acer pensylvanicum</i>	Aceraceae	3	7			S4
Sugar Maple	<i>Acer saccharum</i>	Aceraceae	3	4			S5
Wild Lily-of-the-valley	<i>Maianthemum canadense</i> ssp. <i>canadense</i>	Liliaceae	3	5			S5
Yellow Birch	<i>Betula alleghaniensis</i>	Betulaceae	0	6			S5



VEGETATION
COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: 4COMMUNITY #: MAS2-1LOCATION: Elephant LakeCOORDINATES: -78.135162,
45.165218PROJECT NUMBER: 11996-001DATE: Multiple Dates
(2021)PROJECT
MANAGER: J. PrahFIELD STAFF: Keegan McKitterick

FIELD SHEET – Vegetation Species List

Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	CoW	CoC	SARA	SARO	S-Rank
Bittersweet Nightshade	<i>Solanum dulcamara</i>	Solanaceae	0				SNA
Broad-leaved Cattail	<i>Typha latifolia</i>	Typhaceae	-5	1			S5
Fragrant Water-lily	<i>Nymphaea odorata</i> ssp. <i>odorata</i>	Nymphaeaceae	-5	5			S5?
Large-leaved Pondweed	<i>Potamogeton amplifolius</i>	Potamogetonaceae	-5	5			S5
Marsh Horsetail	<i>Equisetum palustre</i>	Equisetaceae	-3	10			S5
Northern Arrowhead	<i>Sagittaria cuneata</i>	Alismataceae	-5	7			S5
Pickeralweed	<i>Pontederia cordata</i>	Pontederiaceae	-5	7			S5
Red-osier Dogwood	<i>Cornus sericea</i>	Cornaceae	-3	2			S5
Reed Canarygrass	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	Poaceae	-3	0			S5
Sensitive Fern	<i>Onoclea sensibilis</i>	Dryopteridaceae	-3	4			S5
Small Duckweed	<i>Lemna minor</i>	Lemnaceae	-5	5			S5?
Speckled Alder	<i>Alnus incana</i> ssp. <i>rugosa</i>	Betulaceae	-3	6			S5
Spotted Jewelweed	<i>Impatiens capensis</i>	Balsaminaceae	-3	4			S5
Variegated Pond-lily	<i>Nuphar variegata</i>	Nymphaeaceae	-5	7			S5



VEGETATION
COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: SWM5-4

COMMUNITY #: 7

LOCATION: Elephant Lake

COORDINATES: -78.137333,
45.166498

PROJECT NUMBER: 11996-001

DATE: Multiple Dates
(2021)

PROJECT
MANAGER: J. Prah

FIELD STAFF: Keegan McKitterick

FIELD SHEET – Vegetation Species List

Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	CoW	CoC	SARA	SARO	S-Rank
Balsam Fir	<i>Abies balsamea</i>	Pinaceae	-3	5			S5
Bulblet Bladder Fern	<i>Cystopteris bulbifera</i>	Dryopteridaceae	-3	5			S5
Common Lady Fern	<i>Athyrium filix-femina</i>	Dryopteridaceae	0	4			S5
Dwarf Scouring-rush	<i>Equisetum scirpoides</i>	Equisetaceae	0	7			S5
Eastern White Cedar	<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	Cupressaceae	-3	4			S5
Grey Dogwood	<i>Cornus racemosa</i>	Cornaceae	0	2			S5
Northern Starflower	<i>Lysimachia borealis</i>	Primulaceae	0	6			S5
Red Maple	<i>Acer rubrum</i>	Aceraceae	0	4			S5
Red-osier Dogwood	<i>Cornus sericea</i>	Cornaceae	-3	2			S5
Running Clubmoss	<i>Lycopodium clavatum</i>	Lycopodiaceae	0	6			S5
Sensitive Fern	<i>Onoclea sensibilis</i>	Dryopteridaceae	-3	4			S5
Tamarack	<i>Larix laricina</i>	Pinaceae	-3	7			S5
Yellow Birch	<i>Betula alleghaniensis</i>	Betulaceae	0	6			S5
Yellow Clintonia	<i>Clintonia borealis</i>	Liliaceae	0	7			S5



VEGETATION

COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: 8

COMMUNITY #: MAS3-1

LOCATION: Elephant Lake

COORDINATES: -78.135162, 45.165218

PROJECT NUMBER: 11996-001

DATE: Multiple Dates (2021)

PROJECT MANAGER: J. Prah

FIELD STAFF: Keegan McKitterick

FIELD SHEET – Vegetation Species List

Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	CoW	CoC	SARA	SARO	S-Rank
Broad-leaved Cattail	<i>Typha latifolia</i>	Typhaceae	-5	1			S5
Red-osier Dogwood	<i>Cornus sericea</i>	Cornaceae	-3	2			S5
Sensitive Fern	<i>Onoclea sensibilis</i>	Dryopteridaceae	-3	4			S5
Reed Canarygrass	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i> var. <i>arundinacea</i>	Poaceae	-3	0			S5
Northern Arrowhead	<i>Sagittaria cuneata</i>	Alismataceae	-5	7			S5
Pickeralweed	<i>Pontederia cordata</i>	Pontederiaceae	-5	7			S5
Fragrant Water-lily	<i>Nymphaea odorata</i> ssp. <i>odorata</i>	Nymphaeaceae	-5	5			S5?
Variegated Pond-lily	<i>Nuphar variegata</i>	Nymphaeaceae	-5	7			S5
Small Duckweed	<i>Lemna minor</i>	Lemnaceae	-5	5			S5?
Bittersweet Nightshade	<i>Solanum dulcamara</i>	Solanaceae	0				SNA
Speckled Alder	<i>Alnus incana</i> ssp. <i>rugosa</i>	Betulaceae	-3	6			S5
Marsh Horsetail	<i>Equisetum palustre</i>	Equisetaceae	-3	10			S5
Spotted Jewelweed	<i>Impatiens capensis</i>	Balsaminaceae	-3	4			S5
Large-leaved Pondweed	<i>Potamogeton amplifolius</i>	Potamogetonaceae	-5	5			S5
Common Hornwort	<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i>	Ceratophyllaceae	-5	4			S5
Watershield	<i>Brasenia schreberi</i>	Cabombaceae	-5	7			S5
Curly-leaved Pondweed	<i>Potamogeton crispus</i>	Potamogetonaceae	-5				SNA
American Eelgrass	<i>Vallisneria spiralis</i>	Hydrocharitaceae	-5	6			S5
Canada Waterweed	<i>Elodea canadensis</i>	Hydrocharitaceae	-5	4			S5

NOTES: offshore wetland – part of PSW, obs. Made from canoe- organic muck greater than .5m – areas outside of wetland had shallow sandy substrate



VEGETATION
COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: 9

COMMUNITY #: BOO1

LOCATION: Elephant Lake

COORDINATES: -78.1117906,
45.1595600

PROJECT NUMBER: 11996-001

DATE: Multiple Dates
(2021)

PROJECT
MANAGER: J. Prah

FIELD STAFF: Keegan McKittrick

FIELD SHEET – Vegetation Species List

Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	CoW	CoC	SARA	SARO	S-Rank
Three-way Sedge	Dulichium arundinaceum var. arundinaceum	Cyperaceae	-5	7			S5
Steeplebush	Spiraea tomentosa var. tomentosa	Rosaceae	-3				SU
Marsh Fern	Thelypteris palustris	Thelypteridaceae	-3	5			S5
Brownish Sedge	Carex brunnescens ssp. brunnescens	Cyperaceae	-3	6			SU
Tawny Cottongrass	Eriophorum virginicum	Cyperaceae	-5	8			S5

NOTES: Small pocket of bog with community 7 – floating veg mat at base of slope



VEGETATION
COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: FES1-1COMMUNITY #: 10LOCATION: Elephant lakeCOORDINATES: 44.5973453, -
78.1955786PROJECT NUMBER: 11996-001DATE: Multiple Dates
(2021)PROJECT
MANAGER: J. PrahFIELD STAFF: Keegan McKittrick

FIELD SHEET – Vegetation Species List

Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	CoW	CoC	SARA	SARO	S-Rank
Sweet Gale	Myrica gale	Myricaceae	-5	6			S5
Steeplebush	Spiraea tomentosa var. tomentosa	Rosaceae	-3				SU
Royal Fern	Osmunda regalis var. spectabilis	Osmundaceae	-5	7			S5
Marsh Fern	Thelypteris palustris	Thelypteridaceae	-3	5			S5
Fen Grass-of-Parnassus	Parnassia glauca	Saxifragaceae	-5	8			S5
Pickeralweed	Pontederia cordata	Pontederiaceae	-5	7			S5
Broad-leaved Cattail	Typha latifolia	Typhaceae	-5	1			S5
Fragrant Water-lily	Nymphaea odorata ssp. odorata	Nymphaeaceae	-5	5			S5?
Water Horsetail	Equisetum fluviatile	Equisetaceae	-5	7			S5
Small Burreed	Sparganium natans	Sparganiaceae	-5	8			S5
Swamp Loosestrife	Decodon verticillatus	Lythraceae	-5	7			S5
Pale Bog Laurel	Kalmia polifolia	Ericaceae	-5	10			S5
Tamarack	Larix laricina	Pinaceae	-3	7			S5
Balsam Fir	Abies balsamea	Pinaceae	-3	5			S5
Common Winterberry	Ilex verticillata	Aquifoliaceae	-3	5			S5
Speckled Alder	Alnus incana ssp. rugosa	Betulaceae	-3	6			S5

NOTES: Very thin sliver of fen species along edges of shoreline between larger wetlands located offshore



Appendix E
Photographic Log

GENERAL NOTES:

- Topographic LiDAR survey via aerial drone was sub-contracted to Drone Services Canada Inc. and supervised by King EPCM
- Horizontal Projection - NAD83(CSRS) UTM Zone 17N
- Vertical Projection - CGVD28 Height
- Field work completed during June 18 - 20th, 2024, with King EPCM field visit and data verification on June 19th, 2024

PROPOSED ZONING

WATERFRONT RESIDENTIAL 4

FRONT YARD SETBACK = 7.5M MIN.

REAR YARD SETBACK = 7.5M MIN.



SIDEYARD SETBACK, DWELLING = 4.5 MIN

SIDEYARD SETBACK, ACCESSORY = 1.0 MIN



LEGEND

- LOT PROPERTY LINE
- 30M OFFSET SHORELINE
- WETLAND BUFFER
- 1:100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN BOUNDARY
- PROVINCIALY SIGNIFICANT WETLAND BOUNDARY LINE
- WATERCOURSE BUFFER




COPYRIGHT

GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers

ARCHITECTURAL	STRUCTURAL
MECHANICAL	ELECTRICAL

ISSUES

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23



OWNER

NF 95 Developments

PROJECT

**ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON**


PROJECT NO:
DRAWN BY: X.G. CHECKED BY: A.R.
PROJECT MGR: X.G. APPROVED BY: D.S.

SHEET TITLE

**SOUTHERN PHASE
MASTER SITE PLAN**

SCALE: 1:10000

SHEET NUMBER **A2-01**




LEGEND

- LOT PROPERTY LINE
- 30M OFFSET SHORELINE
- WETLAND BUFFER
- 1:100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN BOUNDARY
- PROVINCIALY SIGNIFICANT WETLAND BOUNDARY LINE
- WATERCOURSE BUFFER
- PROP. 1 OR 2 STOREY DWELLING
- PROP. SEPTIC SYSTEM (MINIMUM 3M OFFSET LOT BOUNDAR 5M OFFSET BUILDING)

SCALE 1:2,000
1cm = 20m

0m 100m 200m

KEY PLAN
1:20,000



COPYRIGHT


GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers

ARCHITECTURAL **STRUCTURAL**
MECHANICAL **ELECTRICAL**


ISSUES

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23

KEY MAP
N.T.S.



OWNER



PROJECT

**ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON**

PROJECT NO:

DRAWN BY: X.G.

PROJECT MGR: X.G.

CHECKED BY: A.R.

APPROVED BY: D.S.

SHEET TITLE


**SOUTHERN PHASE
DETAILED PLAN - PAGE 1**


SCALE:

1:2000

SHEET NUMBER

A2-02






COPYRIGHT

GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers

ARCHITECTURAL **STRUCTURAL**
MECHANICAL **ELECTRICAL**

ISSUES

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23



OWNER

NF 95 Developments

PROJECT

**ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON**

PROJECT NO:
DRAWN BY: X.G.
PROJECT MGR: X.G.


CHECKED BY: A.R.
APPROVED BY: D.S.


SHEET TITLE

**SOUTHERN PHASE
DETAILED PLAN - PAGE 2**

SCALE: 1:1000

SHEET NUMBER **A2-03**





COPYRIGHT


GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers

ARCHITECTURAL **STRUCTURAL**
MECHANICAL **ELECTRICAL**

ISSUES

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23

KEY MAP
N.T.S.



OWNER

NF 95 Developments

PROJECT

**ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON**

PROJECT NO:
DRAWN BY: X.G.
PROJECT MGR: X.G.

CHECKED BY: A.R.
APPROVED BY: D.S.

SHEET TITLE


**SOUTHERN PHASE
DETAILED PLAN - PAGE 3**

SCALE:

1:1000

SHEET NUMBER

A2-04





ISSUES		
NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23

A map of the Northwest Territories (N.T.S.) showing a grid of land parcels. A large area in the central-northern part of the map is shaded in red and labeled "SUBJECT PROPERTIES". To the north of this area is the "Arctic Circle". To the west is the "Mackenzie River". To the south is "Elephant Lake". The map includes a grid of lines and various geographical labels.


NF 95 Developments®


ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON

SHEET TITLE

SOUTHERN PHASE
DETAILED PLAN - PAGE 5

SHEET NUMBER **A2-06**





COPYRIGHT

GOLD LAND Architects and Engineers

ARCHITECTURAL
MECHANICAL


STRUCTURAL
ELECTRICAL

ISSUES


NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-12
2	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2024-12-16
3	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-03-19
4	REISSUE FOR SUBDIVISION	2025-04-23

KEY MAP

N.T.S.



OWNER



PROJECT

**ELEPHANT LAKE
HARCOURT,
DYSART ET AL, ON**

PROJECT NO:

DRAWN BY: X.G.

PROJECT MGR: X.G.

CHECKED BY: A.R.

APPROVED BY: D.S.

SHEET TITLE

**SOUTHERN PHASE
DETAILED PLAN - PAGE 6**

SCALE:

1:1000

SHEET NUMBER

A2-07



Appendix F
Fish Species List



Table - Fish Species List and Life History Information

Family	Common name	Scientific name	S-Rank	SARA	ESA	Tolerance ¹	Thermal Regime ¹	Spawning Months ¹	Spawning Habitat Preferences ²														
									Water depth (m)				Cover		Substrate								
									0-1	1-2	2-5	5+	Submergent Vegetation	Emergent vegetation	Bedrock	Boulder	Cobble	Rubble	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Hard-pan Clay
Catostomidae	White Sucker	<i>Catostomus commersoni</i>	S5			Tolerant	Coolwater	April-June	X	X	-	-	low	low	-	-	-	medium	high	medium	-	-	-
Centrarchidae	Largemouth Bass	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	S5			Tolerant	Warmwater	May-June	X	X	-	-	medium	high	-	-	-	low	low	high	high	high	-
Centrarchidae	Pumpkinseed	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>	S5			Intermediate	Warmwater	May-August	X	X	-	-	high	high	-	-	-	high	high	-	medium	-	-
Centrarchidae	Rock Bass	<i>Ambloplites rupestris</i>	S5			Intermediate	Coolwater	May-June	X	X	-	-	low	low	-	-	high	high	high	medium	medium	medium	-
Centrarchidae	Smallmouth Bass	<i>Micropterus dolomieu</i>	S5			Intermediate	Coolwater	May-June	X	X	-	-	low	low	medium	-	-	high	high	medium	-	-	-
Cyprinidae	Golden Shiner	<i>Notemigonus crysoleucas</i>	S5			Intermediate	Coolwater	June-August	X	X	-	-	high	high	-	-	-	-	high	high	-	-	-
Esocidae	Muskellunge	<i>Esox masquinongy</i>	S4			Intermediate	Warmwater	April-May	X	X	-	-	high	high	-	-	-	-	medium	high	high	high	-
Ictaluridae	Brown Bullhead	<i>Ameiurus nebulosus</i>	S5			Intermediate	Warmwater	May-June	X	X	-	-	medium	medium	-	-	-	-	high	high	high	high	-
Percidae	Yellow Perch	<i>Perca flavescens</i>	S5			Intermediate	Coolwater	April-May	X	X	X	X	medium	medium	-	-	-	medium	high	high	medium	medium	-
Percidae	Walleye	<i>Sander vitreus vitreus</i>	S5			Intermediate	Coolwater	April-June	X	X	X	X	low	low	high	high	high	high	high	high	-	-	high

Note:

A dash (-) indicated that the species was not reported to utilize a particular depth stratum, cover or substrate.

Tolerance refers to the ability of a species to adapt to environmental perturbations or anthropogenic stresses.

¹ Eakins, R. J. (2018). Ontario Freshwater Fishes Life History Database. Version 4.81. Online database. (<http://www.ontariofishes.ca>), accessed 26 July 2018

² Lane, J. A., Minns, C. K., & Portt, C. B. (1996). Spawning habitat characteristics of Great Lakes fishes (p. 47). Fisheries and Oceans Canada



Appendix G
Bird Species List



VEGETATION

COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: FOD5-3LOCATION: Elephant lakeCOORDINATES: 44.5973366, -
78.1955825POINT COUNT #: 5PROJECT NUMBER: 11996-001DATE: June 16, 2021
July 09 2021PROJECT
MANAGER: J. PrahFIELD STAFF: Keegan McKitterick

FIELD SHEET – Bird Species List

June 16 2021						
Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	SARA	SARO	S-Rank	Breeding Evidence
American Redstart	<i>Setophaga ruticilla</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Common Yellowthroat	<i>Geothlypis trichas</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Black-capped Chickadee	<i>Poecile atricapillus</i>	Paridae			S5	S
Wood Thrush	<i>Hylocichla mustelina</i>	Turdidae	THR	SC	S4B	S
Black-throated Blue Warbler	<i>Setophaga caerulescens</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Ovenbird	<i>Seiurus aurocapilla</i>	Parulidae			S4B	S
Red-eyed Vireo	<i>Vireo olivaceus</i>	Vireonidae			S5B	S
Black-throated Green Warbler	<i>Setophaga virens</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Downy Woodpecker	<i>Picoides pubescens</i>	Picidae			S5	H

July 09 2021						
Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	SARA	SARO	S-Rank	Breeding Evidence
American Redstart	<i>Setophaga ruticilla</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Common Yellowthroat	<i>Geothlypis trichas</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Black-capped Chickadee	<i>Poecile atricapillus</i>	Paridae			S5	S
Black-throated Blue Warbler	<i>Setophaga caerulescens</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Ovenbird	<i>Seiurus aurocapilla</i>	Parulidae			S4B	S
Red-eyed Vireo	<i>Vireo olivaceus</i>	Vireonidae			S5B	S
Black-throated Green Warbler	<i>Setophaga virens</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Downy Woodpecker	<i>Picoides pubescens</i>	Picidae			S5	H

X = Species observed in its breeding season (no breeding evidence)

H = Species observed in its breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

S= Singing male present, or breeding calls heard, in its breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

P= Pair observed in their breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

T= Permanent territory presumed through registration of territorial song on at least 2 days, a week apart, at the same place

D= Courtship or display between a male and a female or 2 males, including courtship feeding or copulation

V= Visiting probable nest site

X = Species observed in its breeding season (no breeding evidence)

CF= Adult carrying food for young

NE= Nest containing eggs

A = Agitated behaviour or anxiety calls of an adult

B= Brood patch on adult female or cloacal protuberance on adult male

N= Nest-building or excavation of nest hole

DD= Distraction display or injury feigning

NU= Used nest or egg shell found (occupied or laid within the period of study)

FY= Recently fledged young or downy young, including young incapable to sustain flight

AE= Adults leaving or entering nest site in circumstances indicating occupied nest

FS= Adult carrying faecal sac

NY= Nest with young seen or heard



VEGETATION
COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: FOD5-3

LOCATION: Elephant lake

COORDINATES: 44.5973366, -
78.1955825

POINT COUNT #: 6

PROJECT NUMBER: 11996-001

DATE: June 16, 2021
July 09 2021

PROJECT
MANAGER: J. Prah

FIELD STAFF: Keegan McKitterick

FIELD SHEET – Bird Species List

June 16 th 2021						
Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	SARA	SARO	S-Rank	Breeding Evidence
Ovenbird	<i>Seiurus aurocapilla</i>	Parulidae			S4B	S
Chestnut-sided Warbler	<i>Setophaga pensylvanica</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Blue-headed Vireo	<i>Vireo solitarius</i>	Vireonidae			S5B	S
Pileated Woodpecker	<i>Dryocopus pileatus</i>	Picidae			S5	S
Black-throated Green Warbler	<i>Setophaga virens</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Common Yellowthroat	<i>Geothlypis trichas</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Black-capped Chickadee	<i>Poecile atricapillus</i>	Paridae			S5	H
Pine Warbler	<i>Setophaga pinus</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S

July 09 th 2021						
Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	SARA	SARO	S-Rank	Breeding Evidence
Ovenbird	<i>Seiurus aurocapilla</i>	Parulidae			S4B	S
American Redstart	<i>Setophaga ruticilla</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Chestnut-sided Warbler	<i>Setophaga pensylvanica</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Black-throated Green Warbler	<i>Setophaga virens</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Common Yellowthroat	<i>Geothlypis trichas</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S
Black-capped Chickadee	<i>Poecile atricapillus</i>	Paridae			S5	H
Pine Warbler	<i>Setophaga pinus</i>	Parulidae			S5B	S

X = Species observed in its breeding season (no breeding evidence)

H = Species observed in its breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

S= Singing male present, or breeding calls heard, in its breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

P= Pair observed in their breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

T= Permanent territory presumed through registration of territorial song on at least 2 days, a week apart, at the same place

D= Courtship or display between a male and a female or 2 males, including courtship feeding or copulation

V= Visiting probable nest site

X = Species observed in its breeding season (no breeding evidence)

CF= Adult carrying food for young

NE= Nest containing eggs

A = Agitated behaviour or anxiety calls of an adult

B= Brood patch on adult female or cloacal protuberance on adult male

N= Nest-building or excavation of nest hole

DD= Distraction display or injury feigning

NU= Used nest or egg shell found (occupied or laid within the period of study)

FY= Recently fledged young or downy young, including young incapable to sustain flight

AE= Adults leaving or entering nest site in circumstances indicating occupied nest

FS= Adult carrying faecal sac

NY= Nest with young seen or heard



VEGETATION

COMMUNITY

CLASSIFICATION: _____

LOCATION: Elephant lakeCOORDINATES: 44.5973369, -
78.1955822POINT COUNT #: 7PROJECT NUMBER: 11996DATE: June 16, 2021
July 09 2021PROJECT
MANAGER: J. PrahFIELD STAFF: Keegan McKitterick

FIELD SHEET – Bird Species List

June 16 2021						
Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	SARA	SARO	S-Rank	Breeding Evidence
Blue-winged Teal	Anas discors	Anatidae			S4	S
Black-throated Blue Warbler	Setophaga caerulescens	Parulidae			S5B	
Black-capped Chickadee	Poecile atricapillus	Paridae			S5	S
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapilla	Parulidae			S4B	S
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	Anatidae			S5	H
Chestnut-sided Warbler	Setophaga pensylvanica	Parulidae			S5B	S
Red-eyed Vireo	Vireo olivaceus	Vireonidae			S5B	S

July 09 2021						
Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	SARA	SARO	S-Rank	Breeding Evidence
Black-throated Blue Warbler	Setophaga caerulescens	Parulidae			S5B	S
Black-capped Chickadee	Poecile atricapillus	Paridae			S5	S
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapilla	Parulidae			S4B	S
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	Anatidae			S5	H
Chestnut-sided Warbler	Setophaga pensylvanica	Parulidae			S5B	S
Red-eyed Vireo	Vireo olivaceus	Vireonidae			S5B	S
American Redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	Parulidae			S5B	S

X = Species observed in its breeding season (no breeding evidence)

H = Species observed in its breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

S= Singing male present, or breeding calls heard, in its breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

P= Pair observed in their breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

T= Permanent territory presumed through registration of territorial song on at least 2 days, a week apart, at the same place

D= Courtship or display between a male and a female or 2 males, including courtship feeding or copulation

V= Visiting probable nest site

X = Species observed in its breeding season (no breeding evidence)

CF= Adult carrying food for young

NE= Nest containing eggs

A = Agitated behaviour or anxiety calls of an adult

B= Brood patch on adult female or cloacal protuberance on adult male

N= Nest-building or excavation of nest hole

DD= Distraction display or injury feigning

NU= Used nest or egg shell found (occupied or laid within the period of study)

FY= Recently fledged young or downy young, including young incapable to sustain flight

AE= Adults leaving or entering nest site in circumstances indicating occupied nest

FS= Adult carrying faecal sac

NY= Nest with young seen or heard



VEGETATION
COMMUNITY
CLASSIFICATION:

FOD5-3 Old
Hunt Camp

LOCATION: Elephant lake

COORDINATES: 44.5973369, -
78.1955822

POINT COUNT #: 8

PROJECT NUMBER: 11996

DATE: June 16, 2021
July 09 2021

PROJECT
MANAGER: J. Prah

FIELD STAFF: Keegan McKitterick

FIELD SHEET – Bird Species List

June 16 2021						
Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	SARA	SARO	S-Rank	Breeding Evidence
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	Archilochus colubris	Trochilidae			S5B	P
Black-capped Chickadee	Poecile atricapillus	Paridae			S5	S
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	Turdidae			S5B	P
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	Anatidae			S5	H
Blue-winged Teal	Anas discors	Anatidae			S4	H
Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Ardeidae			S4	H
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapilla	Parulidae			S4B	S
Blue Jay	Cyanocitta cristata	Corvidae			S5	S
Downy Woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	Picidae			S5	S
Song Sparrow	Melospiza melodia	Passerellidae			S5B	S

July 09 2021						
Common Name	Scientific Name	Family	SARA	SARO	S-Rank	Breeding Evidence
Black-capped Chickadee	Poecile atricapillus	Paridae			S5	S
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	Turdidae			S5B	P
Osprey	Pandion haliaetus	Pandionidae			S5B	H
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	Anatidae			S5	H
Blue Jay	Cyanocitta cristata	Corvidae			S5	S
Common Yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas	Parulidae			S5B	S
American Redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	Parulidae			S5B	S
Song Sparrow	Melospiza melodia	Passerellidae			S5B	S

X = Species observed in its breeding season (no breeding evidence)

H = Species observed in its breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

S= Singing male present, or breeding calls heard, in its breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

P= Pair observed in their breeding season in suitable nesting habitat

T= Permanent territory presumed through registration of territorial song on at least 2 days, a week apart, at the same place

D= Courtship or display between a male and a female or 2 males, including courtship feeding or copulation

V= Visiting probable nest site

X = Species observed in its breeding season (no breeding evidence)

CF= Adult carrying food for young

A = Agitated behaviour or anxiety calls of an adult

B= Brood patch on adult female or cloacal protuberance on adult male

N= Nest-building or excavation of nest hole

DD= Distraction display or injury feigning

NU= Used nest or egg shell found (occupied or laid within the period of study)

FY= Recently fledged young or downy young, including young incapable to sustain flight

AE= Adults leaving or entering nest site in circumstances indicating occupied nest

FS= Adult carrying faecal sac

NY= Nest with young seen or heard



Environmental Impact Study - Southern Phase, Elephant Lake, Municipality of Dysart et al, Haliburton County, ON
2463756 Ontario Inc.
Cambium Reference: 11996-001
May 14, 2025

Appendix H

Species of Conservation Concern Screening

APPENDIX: Species of Conservation Concern - Haliburton SE

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	Federal SARA	Provincial SARO	S-RANK	SPECIES DESCRIPTION AND HABITAT REQUIREMENTS	SUITABLE HABITAT	SPECIES OBSERVATIONS	ASSESSMENT
Birds								
Bald Eagle	<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	No Status	SC	S2N,S4B	The Bald Eagle is a bird of prey with a white head, neck and tail, a massive bright yellow beak, powerful legs, and a wingspan of over 2 m. It nests in a variety of habitats and forest types, almost always near a major lake or river where they do most of their hunting. These nests are usually on islands in freshwater lakes or in large trees such as the pine and poplar. During the winter, they may also be found near open bodies of water that do not freeze (1).	No		No further consideration required
Bank Swallow	<i>Riparia riparia</i>	THR	THR	S4B	The Bank Swallow is a small songbird of around 12 cm long with a distinctive dark breast band, that flies with quick and erratic wingbeats (1). It nests in burrows in natural and human-made settings where there are vertical faces in silt and sand deposits. This can include banks of rivers and lakes, bluffs, active sand and gravel pits, road cuts and stockpiles of soils. However, they prefer sand-silt substrates for excavating their nest burrows. They often use large wetlands as communal nocturnal roosts post-breeding or during wintering periods (2).	No		No further consideration required
Barn Swallow	<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	THR	THR	S4B	The Barn Swallow is a mid-sized songbird with steel-blue backs and wings, glossy in males, and a line of white spots across its upper tail. It lives in a variety of open habitats for foraging, such as grassy fields, pastures, certain agricultural crops, shorelines, cottage areas, wetlands, or subarctic tundra (2). They prefer to nest within human made structures such as barns, bridges, and culverts. Barn Swallow nests are cup-shaped and made of mud, typically attached to horizontal beams or vertical walls underneath an overhang (1).	No		No further consideration required
Bobolink	<i>Dolichonyx oryzivorus</i>	THR	THR	S4B	The Bobolink is a mid-sized songbird of tan colour with black stripes, except for males during summer breeding season who are black with a white back and yellow collar. It prefers tall, grassy meadows, hayfields and some croplands, and feeds (largely on insects) on the ground in dense grasses (1). It tends to nest in forage crops: hayfields and pastures dominated by species including clover, bluegrass, and broadleaf plants (2).	No		No further consideration required
Canada Warbler	<i>Cardellina canadensis</i>	THR	SC	S4B	The Canada Warbler is a small songbird with bright yellow underparts and bluish-grey back and tail (1). It can be found in a variety of forest types, but is most abundant in moist, mixed forests with a well-developed, dense shrub layer. Nests are usually located on or near the ground on mossy logs, and along stream banks (3).	Yes: on-site	Confirmed absent through targeted surveys	No further consideration required
Chimney Swift	<i>Chaetura pelagica</i>	THR	THR	S4B,S4N	The Chimney Swift is a small bird, between 12 and 14 cm, with a brown, cigar-shaped body, slender wings, and an erratic flight pattern. Prior to settlement, the Chimney Swift would mainly nest in cave walls and hollow trees. Now, it is found mostly near urban and suburban areas where the presence of chimneys or other manmade structures provide nesting and roosting habitat. They also tend to stay in habitat close to the water (1).	No		No further consideration required
Common Nighthawk	<i>Chordeiles minor</i>	THR	SC	S4B	The Common Nighthawk is a medium-sized bird with long, pointed wings, a long tail with a notch, and large eyes. Its plumage of dark brown with black and white specks blends with its roost site. It is typically found in open areas such as gravel beaches, rock outcrops and burned woodlands, that have little to no ground vegetation. This species can also be found in highly disturbed locations such as clear cuts, mine tailing areas, cultivated fields, urban parks, gravel roads, and orchards (1).	No		No further consideration required
Eastern Meadowlark	<i>Sturnella magna</i>	THR	THR	S4B	The Eastern Meadowlark is a medium-sized migratory songbird with a bright yellow throat and belly, a black V shape on its chest, and a pointed bill. It prefers pastures and hayfields, but is also found to breed in orchards, shrubby fields, human-use areas such as airports and roadsides, or other open areas. The Eastern Meadowlark can nest from early May to mid-August, in nests that are built on the ground and well-camouflaged with a roof woven from grasses (1).	No		No further consideration required
Eastern Whip-poor-will	<i>Antrastomus vociferus</i>	THR	THR	S4B	The Eastern Whip-poor-will is a medium-sized bird with mottled brown and grey feathers to blend in with its surroundings, a large flattened head, and small bill. They are usually found in areas with a mix of open and forested areas such as patchy forests with clearings, forests that are regenerating after major disturbances, savannahs, open woodlands or openings in more mature forests. Breeding habitat is dependent on forest structure rather than composition, although common tree associations are pine and oak, and it nests directly on the forest floor (2). The species prefers to nest in semi-open or patchy forests with clearings as it forages in open areas and uses forested areas for roosting (1).	Yes: on-site	Confirmed absent through targeted surveys	No further consideration required
Eastern Wood-Pewee	<i>Contopus virens</i>	SC	SC	S4B	The Eastern Wood-pewee is a species of 'flycatcher', a bird that eats flying insects. It grows to approximately 15 cm, has greyish-olive upper parts and pale bars on its wings. This species lives in the mid-canopy layer of forest clearings and edges of deciduous and mixed forests. It prefers intermediate-age forest stands with little understory vegetation (1). It typically creates nests on tree branches 2-12 m in height (2).	Yes: on-site	Known to occur in the general area	Potential habitat for endangered or threatened species on-site
Evening Grosbeak	<i>Coccothraustes vespertinus</i>	SC	SC	S4B	The Evening Grosbeak is a large songbird with a thick greenish bill. It is a social bird that is often found in flocks, particularly during the winter months. Their preferred habitat is thick coniferous forest. During their breeding season, they are generally found in open, mature mixed forests dominated by Firs, White Spruce, or Trembling Aspen (1).	No		No further consideration required
Golden Winged Warbler	<i>Vermivora chrysoptera</i>	THR	SC	S4B	The Golden-winged Warbler is a small songbird with distinctive yellow wing patches and patches behind their eyes. It inhabits early successional habitat of old fields and favour areas where trees are spread out or forest edges to use for perching, singing, and searching for food. They seem to prefer regeneration zones with young shrub growth, surrounded by mature forest, locations that have recently been disturbed, such as field edges, hydro or utility right-of-ways, or logged areas for their breeding sites; often frequenting clusters of herbaceous plants and low bushes (1).	No		No further consideration required
Least Bittern	<i>Ixobrychus exilis</i>	THR	THR	S4B	The Least Bittern is a small member of the heron family, reaching around 30 cm in length. It has brown and beige plumage with chestnut patches on its wings (1). The species nests in marshes (> 5 - 10 ha) and swamps dominated by emergent vegetation, preferably cattails, interspersed with patches of woody vegetation and open water. They require dense vegetation and open water with stable levels within 10 m for nesting, and access to clear, open water for foraging (4).	Yes: on-site and adjacent lands	Known to occur in the general area	Potential habitat for endangered or threatened species on-site
Olive-sided Flycatcher	<i>Contopus cooperi</i>	THR	SC	S4B	The Olive-sided Flycatcher is a medium-sized songbird with olive colouring, often seen perching on top of tall trees waiting to catch their prey. It prefers open areas along natural mature forest edges, forest edges near natural openings such as rivers or swamps, human-made openings, or burned forest openings with numbers of dead trees. Breeding habitat usually consists of coniferous or mixed forests adjacent to rivers or wetlands, in Ontario often nesting in White and Black Spruce, Jack Pine, and Balsam Fir (1).	No		No further consideration required
Red-headed Woodpecker	<i>Melanerpes erythrocephalus</i>	END	END	S4B	The Red-headed Woodpecker is a mid-sized bird, at around 20 cm long, with a vivid red head, neck and breast as well as a strong bill. The species can be found in open woodland and woodland edges, often near man-made landscapes such as parks, golf courses and cemeteries. These areas must contain a large number of dead trees for perching and nesting (1).	No		No further consideration required

Rusty Blackbird	<i>Euphagus carolinus</i>	SC	SC	S4B	The Rusty Blackbird, a medium-sized songbird with pale, yellow eyes and a slender black bill, has recently been listed as special concern both federally and provincially. The species breeds in habitats dominated by coniferous forest with wetlands nearby including bogs, marshes, and beaver ponds. In Ontario, their breeding range is found in the Hudson Bay Lowlands and northern Boreal Shield ecozones. During the winter, it can be found in wet woodlands, swamps, and pond edges plus often foraging in agricultural lands (1).	No		No further consideration required
Wood Thrush	<i>Hylocichla mustelina</i>	THR	SC	S4B	The Wood Thrush is a medium-sized songbird of around 20 cm with rusty brown coloured upper parts and white underparts with large dark spots. It breeds in deciduous and mixed forests with moderate understories, shade and abundant leaf litter where it forages for food, including larval and adult insects as well as plant material. They prefer moist stands of trees with well-developed undergrowth and tall trees for perches (1).	Yes: on-site	Known to occur in the general area	Potential significant wildlife habitat on-site
Fish								
American Eel	<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>	No Status	END	S1?	The American Eel is a long, slender bodied fish, with one long fin extending down the back and around the tail, and two small pectoral fins. It has thick lips, and a protruding lower jaw that extends out above the upper jaw. At the juvenile stage, they swim up the St. Lawrence River to reach Lake Ontario and connected tributaries where they will remain for 8 to 23 years before migrating back to their spawning grounds. In Ontario, the American eel prefers mud, sand or gravel substrates during the juvenile stage when they reside primarily in the benthic zone of waterbodies. More mature eels are able to thrive in most environments provided there is available cover during daylight hours, and the habitat is accessible (2).	No		No further consideration required
Lake Sturgeon	<i>Acipenser fulvescens</i>	No Status	END	S2	The Lake Sturgeon, a large freshwater fish, has an extended snout with four whisker-like organs hanging near the mouth and is dark to light brown or grey on its back and sides with a lighter belly. In Ontario, this fish is found in the rivers of the Hudson Bay Basin, the Great Lakes basin, and their connecting waterways. Lake Sturgeon's live almost exclusively in freshwater lakes and rivers with soft bottoms of mud, sand or gravel and are usually found at depths of 5 to 20 m. They spawn in relatively shallow, fast-flowing water or if available deeper water habitat as well (1).	No		No further consideration required
Herptiles								
Blanding's Turtle	<i>Emydoidea blandingii</i>	END	THR	S3	Blanding's Turtles are identifiable by their bright yellow throat and chin and domed shell. They spend the majority of their life cycle in the aquatic environment, usually in large wetlands or shallow lakes with high densities of water plants (1). These turtles prefer shallow, nutrient rich water with organic sediment and dense vegetation. They use terrestrial sites for travel between habitat patches and to lay clutches of eggs, often going hundreds of meters from their nearest water body. Blanding's Turtles nest in dry coniferous and mixed forest habitats, as well as fields and roadsides (2). From late October until the end of April, they hibernate in the mud at the bottom of permanent water bodies (1).	Yes: on-site	Known to occur in the general area	Potential habitat for endangered or threatened species on-site
Midland Painted Turtle	<i>Chrysemys picta marginata</i>	SC	-	S4	The Midland Painted Turtle has a olive to black carapace with red or dark orange markings on the marginal scutes, as well as red and yellow stripes on the head and neck. The species uses a variety of waterbodies including, ponds, marshes, lakes and slow-moving creeks with a soft bottom and an abundance of basking sites and aquatic vegetation. This species usually hibernates on the bottom of waterbodies (5).	Yes: on-site	Known to occur in the general area	Confirmed significant wildlife habitat on-site
Snapping Turtle	<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>	SC	SC	S3	The Snapping Turtle, with its large serrated carapace, small plastron, and spiked tail, is Canada's largest freshwater turtle (5). It spends the majority of its life in water, preferring shallow water with soft mud and leaf litter, and will travel upland to gravel or sandy embankments, roadsides, along railway lines or beaches to lay their eggs (1).	Yes: on-site	Known to occur in the general area	Potential significant wildlife habitat on adjacent lands
Spotted Turtle	<i>Clemmys guttata</i>	END	END	S2	The Spotted Turtle is named after the distinct yellow spots on its carapace. The species is semi-aquatic and prefers ponds, marshes, bogs and even ditches with slow-moving, unpolluted water and an abundant supply of aquatic vegetation. This species usually hibernates in wetlands or seasonally wet areas with structures such as overhanging banks, hummocks, tree roots, or aquatic animal burrows (1).	Yes: on-site and adjacent lands	Known to occur in the general area	Potential habitat for endangered or threatened species on-site
Wood Turtle	<i>Glyptemys insculpta</i>	THR	END	S2	The Wood Turtle has orange coloured front legs, neck and chin and a sculpted carapace with raised, pyramidal scutes (5). They prefer clear rivers and streams that have moderate current, and sandy or gravelly substrates. This species spends more time on land than other turtle species including in meadows, swamps and fields. Wooded areas are an essential habitat component, and the species uses aquatic habitats for hibernation and mating. Nesting occurs in areas with sandy soil and abundant light (1).	No		No further consideration required
Eastern Hog-nosed Snake	<i>Heterodon platirhinos</i>	THR	THR	S3	The Eastern Hog-nosed Snake can be a variety of colours and patterns so is most easily identified by its flattened, upturned nose. They prefer sandy well-drained habitats such as beaches and dry forests because they lay their eggs, hibernate and burrow in these areas. The main diet of this snake is toads and frogs, so they usually stay close to water including marshes and swamps, where they have an increased chance of finding their preferred prey (1).	No		No further consideration required
Eastern Milksnake	<i>Lampropeltis triangulum</i>	SC	NAR	S4	The Eastern Milksnake's colouration is grey or tan with reddish alternating blotches outlines in black along its back and sides (5). It has recently been delisted from being a species at risk in Ontario (1). This species tends to use open habitats such as rocky outcrops, fields and forest edges. The preferred prey of milksnakes are mice, small rodents, and ground nesting birds which are amply found in and surrounding agricultural outbuildings. The milksnake is secretive and is not likely to be encountered during the day or at night while hunting (5).	No		No further consideration required
Eastern Ribbonsnake	<i>Thamnophis sauritus</i>	SC	SC	S4	The Eastern Ribbonsnake is slender with three bright yellow stripes running down its back and sides and a white crescent in front of each eye. This snake is usually found close to water as they are strong swimmers, often fleeing predators by diving into shallow water. It prefers wetland habitats where its prey species, frogs and small fish, are abundant. Over winter, they congregate in underground burrows or rock crevices to hibernate (1).	No		No further consideration required
Common Five-lined Skink (Southern Shield Population)	<i>Plestiodon fasciatus</i>	SC	SC	S3	The Common Five-lined Skink is Ontario's only lizard species. Its Southern Shield population can be found underneath rocks on open bedrock in forests and like to bask on sunny rocks and logs. They hibernate in crevices among rocks or buried in the soil (1). They hibernate in groups under rocks and tree stumps or in rotting wood (5).	No		No further consideration required
Western Chorus Frog	<i>Pseudacris triseriata</i>	THR	-	S3	The Western Chorus Frog is small with a dark stripe running through its eye and a light stripe underneath (5). It is primarily a lowland terrestrial species that requires access to terrestrial and aquatic habitats in close proximity to one another. Relying on marshes and wooded wetlands adjacent to forested habitats, this species also requires isolated, predator free pools for breeding. Temporary pools, such as vernal pools in wooded areas, are preferred. This species hibernates terrestrially in a variety of environments, including leaf litter, wood debris, and vacant animal burrows (2).	Yes: on-site	Confirmed habitat on-site through targeted surveys	No further consideration required
Invertebrates								
Monarch Butterfly	<i>Danaus plexippus</i>	SC	SC	S2N,S4B	The Monarch is an orange and black butterfly with small white spots and a wingspan of around 10 cm. It relies on milkweed plants as a food source for growing caterpillars, but the adult butterflies forage in diverse habitats for nectar from wildflowers (1).	No		No further consideration required



Environmental Impact Study - Southern Phase, Elephant Lake, Municipality of Dysart et al, Haliburton County, ON
2463756 Ontario Inc.
Cambium Reference: 11996-001
May 14, 2025

Appendix I

Significant Wildlife Habitat Assessment


APPENDIX: Significant Wildlife Habitat Screening - 5E

APPENDIX: Significant Wildlife Habitat Screening - 5E			SITE		
SWH Type	Habitat Descriptions & Criteria for <u>Candidate</u> SWH	Listed Species & Defining Criteria for <u>Confirmed</u> SWH	Candidate SWH Criteria Present: Yes/No	Species or Defining Criteria Observations	Candidate/Confirmed /Absent SWH: Area to be Defined & Relevant Notes
Seasonal Concentration Areas of Animals					
Waterfowl Stopover and Staging Areas (Terrestrial)	Meadow, Thicket, or Agricultural Field <u>WITH</u> spring flooding/sheet water (Mar-May) <u>AND</u> size potential to support 100+ individuals <u>AND</u> potential established/recurring annual use	American Black Duck, American Wigeon, Blue-winged Teal, Gadwall, Green-winged Teal, Mallard, Northern Pintail, Northern Shoveler, Wood Duck Defining Criteria: 100+ individuals SWH: ecosite + 100-300m radius; dependent on local site conditions and adjacent land use	No	N/A	N/A
Waterfowl Stopover and Staging Area (Aquatic)	Marsh, Swamp, Shallow Aquatic, Open Aquatic, reservoirs managed as wetland/ lake/ pond, and agricultural fields <u>AND</u> size potential to support 100+ indiv. for 7+ days *Rare: typically only a few locations per EcoDistrict <i>EXCLUDES SWM and sewage treatment ponds</i>	American Black Duck, American Wigeon, Black Scoter, Blue-winged Teal, Brant, Bufflehead, Cackling Goose, Canada Goose, Canvasback, Common Goldeneye, Common Merganser, Gadwall, Greater Scaup, Green-winged Teal, Hooded Merganser, Lesser Scaup, Long-tailed Duck, Northern Pintail, Northern Shoveler, Red-breasted Merganser, Redhead, Ring-necked duck, Ruddy Duck, Snow Goose, Surf Scoter, White-winged Scoter Defining Criteria: 100+ individuals for 7+ days (>700 waterfowl use days) <u>OR</u> annual staging of Ruddy Ducks, Canvasbacks and Redheads <u>OR</u> wetlands and shorelines associated with sites identified in SWHTG Appendix K SWH: ecosite + 100m radius	Yes	None	Candidate: Community 8 (targeted surveys not undertaken)
Shorebird Migratory Stopover Area	Beach/Bar, Sand Dune, Meadow Marsh, Shorelines of lakes, rivers and wetlands, including armour rock shorelines of Great Lakes <u>WITH</u> size potential to support 100+ Whimbrel <u>OR</u> 3+ species for 1000+ shorebird use days <i>EXCLUDES SWM and sewage treatment ponds</i>	American Golden Plover, Baird's Sandpiper, Black-bellied Plover, Dunlin, Greater Yellowlegs, Hudsonian Godwit, Least Sandpiper, Lesser Yellowlegs, Marbled Godwit, Pectoral Sandpiper, Purple Sandpiper, Red-necked Phalarope, Ruddy Turnstone, Sanderling, Semipalmated Plover, Semipalmated Sandpiper, Short-billed Dowitcher, Solitary Sandpiper, Spotted Sandpiper, Stilt Sandpiper, Whimbrel, White-rumped Sandpiper Defining Criteria to Confirm: 3+ species and 1000+ shorebird use days (#birds x #days) <u>OR</u> 100+ Whimbrel for at least 3 yrs (makes brief stops of <24 hrs during migration) SWH: ecosite + 100m radius	Yes	None	Candidate: Community 8 (targeted surveys not undertaken)
Raptor Wintering Area	COMBINATION of Forest, Meadow, Thicket, Savannah, Woodland or lightly grazed pasture of combined 20+ha area <u>WITH</u> 15+ha of the area consisting of open habitat; Fields should be wind swept with limited snow accumulation / depth	<u>Hawks</u> : Rough-legged Hawk <u>Owls</u> : Boreal Owl, Long-eared Owl, Northern Saw-whet Owl, Short-eared Owl Defining Criteria: 1+ Short-eared Owls <u>OR</u> 10+ individuals of 2+ listed species <u>AND</u> used regularly (at least 20 days during each year for at least 3 in 5 years) SWH: not specified in Criteria Schedules	No	N/A	N/A
Bat Hibernacula	Caves, Crevices, Karsts, Abandoned Mines *Calcareous bedrock is fairly rare in 5E <i>EXCLUDES buildings and active mines</i>	Big Brown Bat, Tri-coloured Bat Defining Criteria: all sites with confirmed hibernacula are SWH SWH: entrance + 1000m radius for wind farms <u>OR</u> + 200m radius for other projects	No	N/A	N/A
Bat Maternity Colonies	Mature (dominant trees >80yrs old) Deciduous or Mixed Forests and Treed Swamps <i>EXCLUDES Coniferous Forests and Treed Swamps and buildings</i>	Big Brown Bat, Silver-haired Bat Defining Criteria: 10+/ha cavity trees <u>WITH</u> 25+ cm dbh <u>AND</u> >10 Big Brown Bats <u>OR</u> >5 adult female Silverhaired Bats (trees in lesser decay categories (1-3) preferred) SWH: entire woodland, Ecosite, or Ecoelement containing the maternity colonies	Yes	Bat Maternity Roost Surveys did not meet cavity tree density required per defining criteria.	Absent


APPENDIX: Significant Wildlife Habitat Screening - 5E

SWH Type	Habitat Descriptions & Criteria for Candidate SWH	Listed Species & Defining Criteria for <u>Confirmed</u> SWH	SITE		
			Candidate SWH Criteria Present: Yes/No	Species or Defining Criteria Observations	Candidate/Confirmed /Absent SWH: Area to be Defined & Relevant Notes
Turtle Wintering Area (Painted and Snapping Turtles)	Swamp, Marsh, Shallow Aquatic, Open Aquatic, Open Fen, Open Bog <u>WITH</u> soft mud substrates <u>AND</u> enough depth to maintain free water beneath ice <u>AND</u> adequate dissolved oxygen <i>EXCLUDES man-made ponds such as SWM and sewage treatment ponds</i>	Midland Painted Turtle, Snapping Turtle Defining Criteria: 5+ Painted Turtles <u>OR</u> 1+ Snapping Turtle SWH: ecosite	Yes	None	Candidate: Communities 4, 7 and 8 may provide suitable habitat.
Turtle Wintering Area (Northern Map Turtle)	Open Aquatic, including deeper rivers or streams and lakes <u>WITH</u> current <u>AND</u> soft mud substrates <u>AND</u> enough depth to maintain free water beneath ice <u>AND</u> adequate dissolved oxygen <i>EXCLUDES man-made ponds such as SWM and sewage treatment ponds</i>	Northern Map Turtle Defining Criteria: 1+ Northern Map Turtle SWH: ecosite <u>OR</u> the pool where overwintering occurs in a stream/river	Yes	None	Candidate: Communities 4, 7 and 8 may provide suitable habitat.
Reptile Hibernaculum (Snakes)	Talus, Rock Barren, Crevice, Cave, Alvar or certain Wetlands (conifer/shrub swamps/swales, poor fens, depressions in bedrock terrain with sparse trees/shrubs and sphagnum or sedge hummocks), broken/fissured bedrock, rock piles or slopes, old stone fences, or abandoned crumbling foundations <u>WITH</u> openings below frost line	Eastern Gartersnake, Eastern Ribbonsnake, Milksnake, Northern Brownsnake, Northern Red-bellied Snake, Northern Ring-necked, Northern Watersnake, Smooth Green Snake Defining Criteria: 5+ individuals of a species <u>OR</u> any number of snakes of 2+ species <u>OR</u> presence of a Special Concern species <u>AND</u> observed near a potential hibernacula on warm sunny days in spring and fall SWH: feature containing hibernacula + 30 m radius	No	N/A	N/A
Reptile Hibernaculum (Five-lined Skink)	Mixed Forests, Deciduous Forest, or Coniferous Forest dominated by Pine/Hemlock <u>WITH</u> cover rocks overlaying fissured granite bedrock	Five-lined Skink Defining Criteria: All sites with active Skink hibernacula SWH: feature containing hibernacula +30 m radius	No	N/A	N/A
Colonially-nesting Bird Breeding Habitat (Bank and Cliff)	Eroding banks, sandy hills/piles, pits, steep slopes, cliff faces <u>WITH</u> size potential to support 8+ nests <i>EXCLUDES all man-made structures (bridge abutments, silos, barns, etc.) AND recently (2 years) disturbed soil (berms, embankments, stock piles, aggregate operations)</i>	Cliff Swallow, Northern Rough-winged Swallow (this species is not colonial but can be found in Cliff Swallow colonies) Defining Criteria: 1+ nesting site with 8+ pairs SWH: peripheral nests + 50m radius	No	N/A	N/A
Colonially-nesting Bird Breeding Habitat (Tree/Shrubs)	Mixed Swamp (excluding those dominated by Cedar) or Treed Fen, lake shorelines/ islands/ peninsulas <u>WITH</u> size to support 5+ nests; Nests are typically 11-15 m above ground near top of live or dead standing trees / occasionally in shrubs and emergents	Black-crowned Night Heron, Great Blue Heron Defining Criteria: 10+ active nests of Great Blue Heron <u>OR</u> 1+ active nest of Black-crowned Night Heron SWH: edge of the colony + 300+m radius <u>OR</u> extent of the forest ecosite <u>OR</u> any island <15ha	Yes	None	Candidate: Communities 4, and 7 may provide suitable habitat.


APPENDIX: Significant Wildlife Habitat Screening - 5E

SWH Type	Habitat Descriptions & Criteria for Candidate SWH	Listed Species & Defining Criteria for <u>Confirmed</u> SWH	SITE		
			Candidate SWH Criteria Present: Yes/No	Species or Defining Criteria Observations	Candidate/Confirmed /Absent SWH: Area to be Defined & Relevant Notes
Colonially-nesting Bird Breeding Habitat (Ground; Terns and Gulls)	Rocky island or peninsula (natural or artificial) in lake or large river <u>WITH</u> Meadow Marsh, Shallow Marsh, Shallow Aquatic, or Open Aquatic	Caspian Tern, Common Tern, Great Black-backed Gull, Herring Gull, Little Gull, Ring-billed Gull Defining Criteria: 25+ active Herring Gull or Ring-billed Gull nests <u>OR</u> 5+ active Common Tern nests <u>OR</u> 2+ active Caspian Tern nests <u>OR</u> 1+ active Little Gull or Great Black-backed Gull nest SWH: edge of the colony + 150+m radius <u>OR</u> the ecosites containing the colony <u>OR</u> any island <3ha	No	N/A	N/A
Colonially-nesting Bird Breeding Habitat (Ground; Brewer's Blackbird)	Close proximity to watercourses in pastures, Meadows, Thickets, Savannah, Meadow Marsh, Shallow Marsh <u>AND</u> scattered trees or shrubs	Brewer's Blackbird Defining Criteria: 5+ pairs SWH: edge of the colony + 150+m radius <u>OR</u> the ecosites containing the colony <u>OR</u> any island <3ha	No	N/A	N/A
Deer Yarding Areas	Stratum I (Core): Coniferous Forest or Swamp <u>WITH</u> 60+% canopy cover by Pine, Hemlock, Cedar, or Spruce Stratum II (typically surrounds Stratum I): Mixed or Deciduous Forest or Swamp <u>WITH</u> plenty of browse (esp. those dominated by Poplar or Birch); can include agricultural fields <i>EXCLUDES woodlots with high densities of deer due to artificial feeding</i>	White-tailed Deer Presence is determined by MNRF <i>If present, consider Movement Corridors</i>	Yes	White-tailed Deer	Site and adjacent lands in all directions are mapped by MNRF as Stratum I and II
Rare Vegetation Communities					
Beach/Beach Ridge/ Bar/Sand Dunes	Beach/Bar, Sand Dune, Shoreline <u>WITH</u> <60% tree cover; characterized by unstable sand	Indicator Species: American Beachgrass, Beach Pea Defining Criteria: 1+ indicator sp SWH: ecosite	No	N/A	N/A
Shallow Atlantic Coastal Marsh	Meadow Marsh, Shallow Marsh, Floating-leaved Shallow Aquatic on inland lakes <u>AND</u> associated with low wave action, sand and sandy peat substrates in areas with seasonally fluctuating water levels (i.e., some years with exposed shorelines in summer/fall) such as inland lakes and beaver ponds	Indicator Species: Virginia Meadow Beauty Other Associated Species: Bayonet Rush, Bog Yellow-eyed-grass, Carey's Smartweed, Sand Panicgrass, Small-headed Beakrush, Virginia St. John's-wort Defining Criteria: Virginia Meadow Beauty <u>AND</u> 4+ other associated sp SWH: ecosite	No	N/A	N/A
Cliffs and Talus Slopes	Cliff (near vertical bedrock 3+m tall) <u>OR</u> Talus slope (coarse rock rubble at base of cliff) <u>WITH</u> <60% tree cover (patchy to barren)	Rock Tripe Lichen (Umbilicaria spp.), Alpine Woodsia, Fragile Fern, Fragrant Cliff Fern, Laurentian Woodsia, Rock Polypody, Rusty Woodsia, Steller's Rockbrake, White Mountain Saxifrage Defining Criteria: Any population of Fragrant Cliff Fern or Laurentian Woods <u>OR</u> Umbilicaria spp <u>AND</u> 3+ other listed sp SWH: ecosite	No	N/A	N/A


APPENDIX: Significant Wildlife Habitat Screening - 5E

SWH Type	Habitat Descriptions & Criteria for Candidate SWH	Listed Species & Defining Criteria for <u>Confirmed</u> SWH	SITE		
			Candidate SWH Criteria Present: Yes/No	Species or Defining Criteria Observations	Candidate/Confirmed /Absent SWH: Area to be Defined & Relevant Notes
Rock Barren (Precambrian Rock)	Rock Barren <u>WITH</u> size of 1+ha <u>AND</u> relatively undisturbed <u>AND</u> tree cover <60%	Reindeer lichens (Cladina spp.), Haircap mosses (Polytrichum spp.), Poverty Oatgrass, Wavy Hairgrass, Common Juniper, Early Lowbush Blueberry, Sweet-fern, White Oak, Red Oak, Eastern White Pine, Bracken Fern, Bristly Sarsaparilla, Case's Ladies'-tresses, Early Saxifrage, Black Huckleberry, Pale Corydalis, Pin Cherry, Bastard Toadflax Defining Criteria: >4 listed sp <u>AND</u> a relatively undisturbed site SWH: ecosite	No	N/A	N/A
Sand Barren	Sand Barren <u>AND</u> <60% tree cover; usually located within forest or savannah, caused by lack of moisture, periodic fires and erosion	Reindeer Lichens (Cladina spp.), Houghton's Sedge, Fernald's Sedge, Labrador Violet, Northern Dewberry, Northern Jointweed, Plains Porcupine Grass, Rock Spikemoss, Sweet-fern Defining Criteria: 1+ listed sp <u>AND</u> <50% cover by exotic/invasive species SWH: ecosite	No	N/A	N/A
Alvar	Alvar, Coniferous Forest dominated by Pine or Cedar, Bedrock Cultural Meadow, Juniper Bedrock Alvar Cultural Thicket, Bedrock Cultural Savannah (CUS2), Bedrock Cultural Woodland (CUW2) <u>WITH</u> size 0.5+ha <u>AND</u> <60% tree cover; typically level mosaic of rock pavements and bedrock overlain by thin veneer of soil <i>*Extremely Rare in 5E</i>	Indicator Species: Balsam Groundsel, Fragrant Sumac, Hairy Beardtongue, Philadelphia Panicgrass, Small Skullcap, Wild Bergamot Defining Criteria: 1+ indicator sp <u>AND</u> <50% cover by exotic/invasive species <u>AND</u> fits surrounding landscape with few conflicting land uses SWH: ecosite	No	N/A	N/A
Old Growth Forest	Forest, Treed Swamp <u>WITH</u> size of 30+ha <u>WITH</u> 10+ha interior habitat (measured 100 m from forest edge)	No listed species Defining Criteria: 140+ year old trees of dominant tree species <u>AND</u> no cut stumps or other signs of logging SWH: ecosites <u>OR</u> ecoelement containing old growth characteristics	No	N/A	N/A
Bog	Bog of any size	Sphagnum moss, ericaceous shrubs, sedges Defining Criteria: no additional criteria SWH: ecosite	Yes	N/A	Confirmed - Community 9
Tallgrass Prairie	Tallgrass Prairie of any size <u>WITH</u> <25 tree cover; may be natural or restored; primarily along shorelines in 5E <i>EXCLUDES remnant sites such as railway right of ways</i>	Indicator Species: Big Bluestem, Prairie Cordgrass Characteristic Species: Black-eyed Susan, Hairy Beardtongue, Kalm's Brome, Large-pod Pinweed, Narrow-leaved New Jersey Tea, Ovate-leaved Violet, Racemed Milkwort, Wild Bergamot, Yellow Indiangrass Defining Criteria: 1+ indicator sp <u>AND</u> 2+ characteristic species <u>AND</u> <50% cover by exotic/invasive species SWH: ecosite	No	N/A	N/A
Savannah	Tallgrass Savannah, Talgrass Woodland, Cultural Savannah of any size <u>WITH</u> tree cover 25-60%; may be natural or restored	Indicator Species (SHWTG Appendix N - use 6E): Dwarf Hackberry, Early-branching Panicgrass, Illinois Tick-trefoil, Redtop Panicgrass, Side-oats Gramma, Small-leaved Tick-trefoil, White Prairie Gentian Defining Criteria: 1+ of the listed species <u>AND</u> <50% cover by exotic/invasive species SWH: ecosite	No	N/A	N/A



APPENDIX: Significant Wildlife Habitat Screening - 5E

SWH Type	Habitat Descriptions & Criteria for Candidate SWH	Listed Species & Defining Criteria for <u>Confirmed</u> SWH	SITE		
			Candidate SWH Criteria Present: Yes/No	Species or Defining Criteria Observations	Candidate/Confirmed /Absent SWH: Area to be Defined & Relevant Notes
Rare Forest Type - Red Spruce Forest	Coniferous Forest and Coniferous Swamps/Bottomlands of any size <u>WITH</u> Red Spruce, often on shallow till soils, organic soils over rock, or steeper slopes	Red Spruce (similar to and hybridizes with Black Spruce) Defining Criteria: >10% cover by Red Spruce SWH: ecosite	No	N/A	N/A
Rare Forest Type - White Oak Forest	Deciduous or Mixed Forest of any size <u>WITH</u> White Oak	White Oak Defining Criteria: >10% cover by White Oak SWH: ecosite	No	N/A	N/A
Specialized Habitat for Wildlife					
Waterfowl Nesting Area	Upland habitats 120+m wide <u>AND</u> adjacent shallow aquatic, shallow marsh, meadow marsh, thicket swamp, or deciduous treed swamp (i.e., all wetlands excluding coniferous and mixed treed swamps). Wetlands must be >0.5 ha or a cluster of three or more <0.5 ha wetlands within 120 m of each other where waterfowl nesting is known to occur *Wood Ducks Bufflehead, Common Goldeneye, and Hooded Mergansers utilize large diameter trees (>40cm dbh) in woodlands for cavity nest sites	American Black Duck, American Widgeon, Blue-winged Teal, Bufflehead, Canada Goose, Common Goldeneye, Common Merganser, Gadwall, Green-winged Teal, Hooded Merganser, Mallard, Northern Pintail, Northern Shoveler, Red-breasted Merganser, Wood Duck Defining Criteria: 1+ nesting site of American Black Duck <u>OR</u> 10+ nesting pairs (including Mallards) <u>OR</u> 3+ nesting pairs (excluding Mallards) SWH: 120 m radius (+/- as determined by site-specific study) of upland habitat adjacent to a wetland	Yes	None	Candidate: Communities 4, and 7 may provide suitable habitat.
Bald Eagle and Osprey Nesting, Foraging, and Perching Habitat	Forest, Swamp <u>AND</u> directly adjacent to shoreline/riparian areas of rivers, lakes, ponds, wetlands <i>EXCLUDES nests on man-made objects (e.g., telephone poles, constructed platforms)</i>	Osprey, Bald Eagle Defining Criteria: 1+ active nest <u>AND</u> known to be used annually; to be excluded nests must be known to be inactive for 3+ yrs or suspected to be inactive for 5+ yrs SWH: Osprey: active nest +300m radius <u>OR</u> contiguous woodland; Bald Eagle: active nest +400-800m radius	Yes	None	N/A
Woodland Raptor Nesting Habitat	Forest, Treed Swamp, Coniferous Plantations <u>WITH</u> size 30+ha <u>AND</u> 4+ha of interior habitat (measured 200m from the forest edge)	Broad-winged Hawk, Cooper's Hawk, Merlin, Northern Goshawk, Red-shouldered Hawk, Red-tailed Hawk, Sharp-shinned Hawk, Barred Owl, Great-horned Owl Defining Criteria: 1+ active nest SWH: Red-shouldered Hawk, Northern Goshawk: active nest +400m radius <u>OR</u> 28ha suitable habitat; Barred Owl: active nest +200m radius; Broad-winged Hawk, Cooper's Hawk, Great-horned Owl, Red-tailed Hawk: active nest +100m radius; Merlin, Sharp-shinned Hawk: active nest +50m radius	No	N/A	N/A
Turtle Nesting Areas	Open sand and gravel <u>WITH</u> adjacent Bog, Fen, Meadow Marsh, Floating Marsh, or undisturbed shallow weedy areas of marshes, lakes, and rivers <i>EXCLUDES habitat along municipal or provincial roads</i>	Midland Painted Turtle, Snapping Turtle, Northern Map Turtle Defining Criteria: 5+ nesting Midland Painted <u>OR</u> 1+ nesting Northern Map or Snapping Turtle SWH: nesting area + 30-100m radius, depending on slope, riparian vegetation, adjacent land use, and consideration of travel routes to/from nest sites	Yes	Predated turtle nests documented.	Confirmed includes surrounding FOD5-3 community.


APPENDIX: Significant Wildlife Habitat Screening - 5E

SWH Type	Habitat Descriptions & Criteria for Candidate SWH	Listed Species & Defining Criteria for <u>Confirmed</u> SWH	SITE		
			Candidate SWH Criteria Present: Yes/No	Species or Defining Criteria Observations	Candidate/Confirmed /Absent SWH: Area to be Defined & Relevant Notes
Lizard Nesting Areas	Deciduous and Mixed Forest; skink nests in logs, in stumps or under loose rock in partially wooded areas	Five-lined Skink Defining Criteria: 1+ nesting skink SWH: active nest +30m radius	No	N/A	N/A
Seeps and Springs	Forest in headwaters area of a stream/river system; important wildlife feeding/drinking areas, especially in the winter	Wild Turkey, Ruffed Grouse, Spruce Grouse, Moose, White-tailed Deer, Salamander spp. Defining Criteria: 2+ seeps/springs SWH: ecosite/ecoelement; may include adjacent habitats pending consideration of: slope, vegetation, height of trees and groundwater condition	No	N/A	N/A
Aquatic Feeding Habitat	Wetlands or isolated embayments that provide an abundance of submerged aquatic vegetation (Pondweeds, Water Milfoil, and Yellow Water Lily preferred) <u>WITH</u> adjacent Conifer/Mixed Forest	Moose, White-tailed Deer *Mapped by MNRF on Crown Land Defining Criteria: observed or demonstrated (tracks, scat) moose use SWH: wetland +120m adjacent mixed or conifer forest, particularly those that provide thermal cover and/or travel corridors to other habitat features If present, consider travel corridor SWH	No	N/A	N/A
Mineral Licks	Forest <u>WITH</u> upwelling groundwater	Moose, White-tailed Deer Survey: Observational survey in early spring prior to leaf out Defining Criteria: confirmed habitat SWH: wetland ecosite, seep or spring +100-200m radius of contiguous forest habitat, depending on level of disturbance	No	N/A	N/A
Denning Sites for Mink, Otter, Marten, Fisher and Eastern Wolf	All species: Forest ecosites Mink: Shorelines dominated by Coniferous or Mixed forest; occasionally old muskrat lodges for denning Otters: Undisturbed Shorelines with productive fish populations, abundant shrubby vegetation and downed woody debris; often use beaver lodges, log jams or rock piles for denning Marten and Fisher: Large tracts of Coniferous or Mixed Forest of mature or older age classes; often use cavities in large trees or under large downed woody debris	Mink, Otter, Marten, Fisher, Grey Wolf, Eastern Wolf Defining Criteria: confirmed active den SWH: known Wolf den +200m radius <u>OR</u> known den of any other listed species +100m radius	Yes	None	Candidate


APPENDIX: Significant Wildlife Habitat Screening - 5E

SWH Type	Habitat Descriptions & Criteria for Candidate SWH	Listed Species & Defining Criteria for <u>Confirmed</u> SWH	SITE		
			Candidate SWH Criteria Present: Yes/No	Species or Defining Criteria Observations	Candidate/Confirmed /Absent SWH: Area to be Defined & Relevant Notes
Amphibian Breeding Habitat (Woodland)	Wetland, pond or breeding pool, including vernal pools <u>WITH</u> size of 500+m ² (~25m diameter) <u>AND</u> located in or within 120m of Forest or Treed Swamp *Permanent ponds or those containing water until at least mid-July are preferred	Blue-spotted Salamander, Eastern Newt, Spotted Salamander, Four-toed Salamander, Northern Two-lined Salamander, Spring Peeper, Wood Frog, American toad Defining Criteria: 1+ breeding salamander sp <u>OR</u> 2+ breeding frog sp <u>WITH</u> 20+ individuals (adults or eggs masses) / Call Level Code 3 SWH: breeding pond/wetland +230m radius of woodland habitat <i>If present adjacent to woodland, travel corridor SWH is to be included</i>	Yes	Amphibian Call Surveys did not record breeding activity that meets the defining criteria	Absent
Amphibian Breeding Habitat (Wetlands)	Swamp, Fen, Bog, Meadow Marsh, Shallow Marsh, Shallow Aquatic, Open Aquatic <u>WITH</u> size of 500+m ² (~25 m diameter) <u>AND</u> typically >120 m from Forest except in the case of larger habitats containing predominantly aquatic species (e.g., Bullfrog) which may have riparian Forest *Shrubs and logs increase significance for some species because of structure for calling, foraging, escape, and concealment from	Blue-spotted Salamander, Eastern Newt, Four-toed Salamander, Spotted Salamander, American Toad, Bullfrog, Gray Treefrog, Green Frog, Mink Frog, Northern Leopard Frog, Pickerel Frog, Western Chorus Frog Defining Criteria: 1+ breeding salamander sp <u>OR</u> 3+ breeding frog/toad sp <u>WITH</u> 20+ individuals (adults or eggs masses) / Call Level Code 3 <u>OR</u> any number of breeding Bullfrogs SWH: wetland ecosite + adjacent shoreline <i>If present, travel corridor SWH is to be considered</i>	Yes	Amphibian Call Surveys did not record breeding activity that meets the defining criteria	Absent
Mast Producing Areas	Deciduous and Mixed Forests >0.5ha <u>WITH</u> >50% cover by mast producing tree species of >40-65cm dbh <u>OR</u> opening within Forest with >50% cover by mast producing shrub species Temporary clearings such as burns or clear-cuts are less significant	Tree Species: Beech, Red Oak, Basswood, Black Cherry, Butternut, Hickory, Ironwood, Mountain Ash, Pin Cherry Sprub Species: Beaked Hazel, Blackberry, Blueberry, Choke Cherry, Hawthorn, Raspberry, Serviceberry Associated wildlife: Black Bear, Ruffed Grouse, White-tailed Deer, Wild Turkey Defining Criteria: Forest with >50% cover by mature (>40-65cm dbh) mast producing tree species <u>OR</u> opening within Forest with >50% cover by mast producing shrub species SWH: ecosite or ecoelement with suitable habitat	Yes	None	Candidate
Habitat of Species of Conservation Concern					
Marsh Bird Breeding Habitat	Wetland <u>WITH</u> shallow water <u>AND</u> emergent vegetation *Green Heron prefers edge of water (sluggish streams, ponds, marshes sheltered by shrubs and trees), but can also be found in upland shrubs or forest a considerable distance from water	American Bittern, American Coot, Black Tern, Common Loon, Common Moorhen, Green Heron, Lesser Scaup, Sora, Marsh Wren, Pie-billed Grebe, Redhead, Red-necked Grebe, Ring-necked Duck, Ruddy Duck, Sandhill Crane, Sedge Wren, Trumpeter Swan, Wilson's Phalarope, Yellow Rail Defining Criteria: 1+ breeding Black Tern, Trumpeter Swan, Green Heron, Yellow Rail or Sandhill Crane <u>OR</u> 5+ nesting pairs of Sedge Wren or Marsh Wren <u>OR</u> breeding by 5+ other listed species SWH: ecosite	Yes	None	Candidate: Communities 4, 7 and 8 may provide suitable habitat.


APPENDIX: Significant Wildlife Habitat Screening - 5E

SWH Type	Habitat Descriptions & Criteria for Candidate SWH	Listed Species & Defining Criteria for <u>Confirmed</u> SWH	SITE		
			Candidate SWH Criteria Present: Yes/No	Species or Defining Criteria Observations	Candidate/Confirmed /Absent SWH: Area to be Defined & Relevant Notes
Open Country Bird Breeding Habitat	Natural and Cultural Meadows <u>WITH</u> size 30+ha <u>AND</u> history of longevity; present for at least 5 years <i>EXCLUDES Class 1 or 2 agricultural lands AND lands being actively used for row crops, intensive hay or pasture in the last 5 years</i>	Grasshopper Sparrow, Northern Harrier, Savannah Sparrow, Short-eared Owl, Upland Sandpiper, Vesper Sparrow Defining Criteria: nesting/breeding of 2+ listed species <u>OR</u> 1+ breeding Short-eared Owl SWH: contiguous ecosite field habitats	No	N/A	N/A
Shrub/Early Successional Bird Breeding Habitat	Field habitats succeeding to Cultural Woodland, Cultural Savannah or Cultural Thicket <u>WITH</u> size of 30+ha <u>AND</u> history of longevity; abandoned fields or lightly grazed pastures <i>EXCLUDES Class 1 or 2 agricultural lands AND lands being actively used for row crops, hay or intensive pasture in the last 5 years</i>	Black-billed Cuckoo, Blue-winged Warbler, Brown Thrasher, Clay-coloured Sparrow, Eastern Towhee, Field Sparrow, Golden-winged Warbler, Prairie Warbler, Tennessee Warbler, Willow Flycatcher Defining Criteria: nesting/breeding of 2+ listed species <u>OR</u> 1+ breeding Golden-winged Warbler SWH: contiguous ecosite field/thicket habitats	No	N/A	N/A
Special Concern and Rare Wildlife Species	Any - varies by species; habitat needs to cover an important life stage component (e.g., nesting, foraging, or wintering habitat)	Species that are ranked S1-S3 by the NHIC and/or are provincially tracked Species with populations that are significantly declining or have a high percentage of their global population in Ontario Species listed as special concern under the ESA Species listed as threatened or endangered under SARA only Regionally or locally rare species, where lists are available Defining Criteria: no additional criteria SWH: finest scale that protects the habitat form and function	Yes	Wood Thrush observed but no probable or confirmed breeding evidence was documented.	Candidate SWH: See Species of Conservation Concern Screening
Animal Movement Corridors					
Amphibian Movement Corridors	Any habitat associated with water; shorter corridors are more significant than longer corridors *potential determined based on identification of Amphibian Breeding SWH	Blue-spotted Salamander, Eastern Newt, Spotted Salamander, Gray Treefrog, Spring Peeper, Western Chorus Frog, Wood Frog Defining Criteria: allowing amphibians to travel between summer and breeding habitat; several layers of native vegetation; ideally unbroken by roads, waterways, waterbodies, and development; gaps <20 m SWH: 15+m on both sides of a waterway/ecosite <u>OR</u> up to 200m wide in woodland habitats with gaps <20 m	No	N/A	N/A
Cervid Movement Corridors	Any forested habitat; shorter corridors are more significant than longer corridors; typically follow riparian areas, woodlots, areas of physical geography (ravines or ridges) *potential determined based on identification of Deer Wintering SWH, Moose Aquatic Feeding Area SWH or Mineral Lick SWH	White-tailed Deer, Moose Defining Criteria: allowing cervids to move to and from yard, mineral lick or aquatic feeding area; should be unbroken by roads and residential areas SWH: 15+m on both sides of a waterway/ecosite <u>OR</u> up to 200m wide with gaps <20m	No	N/A	N/A
Furbearer Movement Corridor	Any Forest habitat within/adjacent to shoreline *potential determined based on identification of Denning Site SWH for <u>Mink or Otter</u>	Mink, Otter Defining Criteria: no additional criteria SWH: not identified in Criteria Schedules	No	N/A	N/A